92-542

No. _____

Supreme Court, U.S.
F I L E D
SEP 14 1992
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1992

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The Court Of Appeal For The State Of California, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

THOMAS A. KEARNEY
Counsel of Record
FRANCIS BREIDENBACH
BREIDENBACH, SWAINSTON, CRISPO & WAY
A Law Corporation
611 West Sixth Street, 16th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-3100
Telephone: (213) 624-3431

DANIEL LUNGREN
Attorney General of the State of California
MARVIN-GOLDSMITH
Senior Assistant Attorney General
WILLIAM L. CARTER
Deputy Attorney General
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
300 S. Spring Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, California 90010-3100
Telephone: (213) 897-2149
Counsel for Petitioner

Of Counsel:
HOWARD L. HALM
PAUL A. ALVAREZ
BREIDENBACH, SWAINSTON, CRISPO & WAY
A Law Corporation
611 West Sixth Street, 16th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-3100
Telephone: (213) 624-3431

MARK A. WEINSTEIN
VEATCH, CARLSON, GROGAN & NELSON
3926 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90010-3100
Telephone: (213) 381-2861

COCKLE LAW BRIEF PRINTING CO., (800) 225-6964
OR CALL COLLECT (402) 342-2831

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Does the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution and/or the Full Faith and Credit Act require that federal law determine the preclusive effect of a prior federal order adjudicating state law claims?

2. Are rules of res judicata substantive so as to require, under *Erie R.R. v. Tompkins*, 304 U.S. 64 (1938), that state law measure the preclusive effect of a prior state-law-based federal order?

LIST OF PARTIES

The parties to the proceedings below were:

Petitioner – The State of California

Respondent– Superior Court for the State of California, County of Riverside

Real Parties-In-Interest:

Plaintiffs – There are 4,035 individuals who have sued the State of California under the lead name "Penny Newman". The full list of plaintiffs is appended as Appendix E.

Defendants – Alcan Aluminum Corporation
Alumax, Inc./Hunter Engineering
Chesebrough-Ponds, Inc.
Stauffer Chemical Co.
The Deutsch Company
General Electric Company
McDonnell Douglas Corp.
NI Industries, Inc.
Quantum Chemical Corp.
Rheem Manufacturing Co.
General Steel & Wire Company
Paul and Lucille Hubbs
E. Moe McCook
J.B. Stringfellow, Jr.
Stringfellow Quarry Company
Montrose Chemical Corp. of California
Northrop Corporation
Rockwell International Corp.
Quemetco, Inc.
Rainbow Canyon Manufacturing Company
Rohr Industries, Inc.
Chris-Craft Industries, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTIONS PRESENTED i

LIST OF PARTIES ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS iii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

CITATIONS TO OPINION BELOW 1

JURISDICTION 2

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND STATUTES INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

1. The State Action 5

2. The Federal Action 6

3. The Proceedings From Which This Petition Arises 8

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 11

1. The State Court of Appeal's Decision Conflicts With Applicable Decisions of This Court 11

2. This Court Should Intervene to Resolve a Conflict Among the Circuits Concerning Which Law, State or Federal, Determines the Preclusive Effect of a State-Law-Based Federal Order 13

3. Rules of Collateral Estoppel Are Substantive, Not Procedural, and Thus *Erie* Requires That State Law Determine the Preclusive Effect of a State-Law-Based Federal Order 16

CONCLUSION 20

APPENDIX ..... App. 1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

Aerojet-General Corp. v. Askew, 511 F.2d 710 (5th Cir. 1975), *cert. denied*, 423 U.S. 908 (1975) 14, 15

Albanese v. Emerson Elec. Co., 552 F.Supp. 694 (D. Del. 1982) 14

Beers v. Southern Pacific Transp. Co., 703 F.2d 425 (9th Cir. 1983) 18

Dupasseur v. Rochereau, 88 U.S. 130 (1875) 11, 12

Empire Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. J. Transport, Inc., 880 F.2d 1291 (11th Cir. 1989) 15

Erie R.R. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938).. 11, 15, 16, 19

Gasbarra v. Park-Ohio Indus. Inc., 655 F.2d 119 (7th Cir. 1981) 14, 15

Gatzemeyer v. Vogel, 589 F.2d 360 (8th Cir. 1978) 15

Gramm v. Lincoln, 257 F.2d 250 (9th Cir. 1958) 15

Guaranty Trust Co. v. York, 326 U.S. 99 (1945)..... 17

Hardy v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp., 681 F.2d 334 (5th Cir. 1982) 14

Hartmann v. Time, Inc., 166 F.2d 127 (3d Cir. 1947), *cert. denied* 334 U.S. 838 (1948).....14, 15

Heiser v. Woodruff, 327 U.S. 726 (1946)..... 16

Hunt v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 707 F.2d 1493 (D.C. Cir. 1983).....14, 15

Johnson v. SCA Disposal Services, 931 F.2d 970 (1st Cir. 1991) 14

Kern v. Hettinger, 303 F.2d 333 (2nd Cir. 1962) 14, 15

Madruga v. Super. Ct. of California, 346 U.S. 556 (1954) 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

Magnolia Petroleum Co. v. Hunt, 320 U.S. 430 (1943), *overruled on other grounds, Thomas v. Washington Gas Light Co.*, 448 U.S. 261 (1980) 13

Makariw v. Rinard, 336 F.2d 333 (3rd Cir. 1964) 14

Midcontinent Broadcasting Co. v. Dresser Indus., 486 F.Supp. 858 (D.S.D. 1980), *aff'd*, 669 F.2d 564 (8th Cir. 1982) 14

Petromanagement Corp. v. Acme-Thomas Joint Venture, 835 F.2d 1329 (10th Cir. 1988) 15

Producers Dairy Delivery Co. v. Sentry Ins. Co., 41 Cal.3d 903 (Cal. 1986) 10

Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Lumbermens Mut. Casualty Co., 411 F.2d 88 (3rd Cir. 1969) 14

Rust v. First Nat'l Bank, 466 F.Supp. 135 (D. Wyo. 1979) 14

Semler v. Psychiatric Institute of Washington, D.C., 575 F.2d 922 (D.C. Cir. 1978) 14

Shoup v. Bell & Howell Co., 872 F.2d 1178 (4th Cir. 1989) 14

Silcox v. United Trucking Service, Inc., 687 F.2d 848 (6th Cir. 1982) 14

Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U.S. 674 (1938) ...10, 11, 12, 13, 15

U.S. v. Montrose Chemical Corp., 788 F.Supp. 1485 (C.D. Cal. 1992) 18

U.S. v. Stringfellow, 911 F.2d 225 (9th Cir. 1990) 18

U.S. v. Stringfellow, 661 F.Supp. 1053 (C.D. Cal. 1987) 6

Williams v. Ocean Transp. Lines, Inc., 425 F.2d 1183 (3rd Cir. 1970) 14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND STATUTES

United States Constitution, Article IV, Section 1 . . . 2, 3, 12

28 U.S.C. § 1257 2

28 U.S.C. § 1291 . . . . . 7, 8

28 U.S.C. § 1738 . . . . . 2, 3, 12

42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.* 6

42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1) 18

TREATISES

1A J. Moore, *Moore's Federal Practice*, ¶0.311 (1991) 16

1B Moore, *Moore's Federal Practice*, ¶0.441[4] (1991) 19

Stern, Gressman & Shapiro, *Supreme Court Practice*, § 3.11, p.135 (6th ed. 1986) 2

18 Wright, Miller & Cooper, *Federal Practice & Procedure* § 4433 (1981) 19

18 Wright, Miller & Cooper, *Federal Practice and Procedure, Jurisdiction* § 4472 (1981) 16

LAW REVIEW ARTICLES

Boock, Comment, *Res Judicata in the Federal Courts: Application of Federal or State Law: Possible Differences Between the Two*, 51 Cornell L.Q. 96 (1965) 16

Brennan, Note, *Collateral Estoppel in Asbestos Litigation*, 14 Envtl. L. 197 (1983) 16

Burbank, *Interjurisdicitonal Preclusion, Full Faith and Credit and Federal Common Law: A General Approach*, 71 Cornell L. Rev. 733 (1986) 16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

Degnan, *Federalized Res Judicata*, 85 Yale L. J. 741 (1976) 16

Emerson, Note, *Res Judicata in the Federal Courts: Federal or State Law?*, 17 Ind. L. Rev. 523 (1984) 16

Shapiro, *State Courts and Federal Declaratory Judgments*, 74 Nw. U. L. Rev. 759 (1979) 16

Smith, Note, *Erie and the Preclusive Effect of Federal Diversity Judgments*, 85 Colum. L. Rev. 1505 (1985) 14, 16

Vestal, *Res Judicata/Preclusion by Judgment: The Law Applied in Federal Courts*, 66 Mich. L. Rev. 1723 (1968) 16

No. _____

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1992

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The Court Of Appeal For The State Of California, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner, the State of California, respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the order and opinion of the Court of Appeal for the State of California, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, entered in the above-entitled proceeding on May 12, 1992.

CITATIONS TO OPINION BELOW

The unreported opinion of the court of appeal denying Petitioner's petition for writ of mandate and discharging the alternative writ, dated May 12, 1992, is

appended as Appendix A. The order of the California Supreme Court, denying Petitioner's petition for review dated July 9, 1992, is reported only at 1992 Cal. LEXIS 3531; and is appended as Appendix B. The unreported order of the court of appeal denying Petitioner's petition for writ of mandate, dated August 8, 1991, is appended as Appendix C. The orders of the California Supreme Court granting Petitioner's petition for review and ordering the court of appeal to issue an alternative writ to Respondent Superior Court, dated September 19, 1991 and October 31, 1991 are reported only at 1991 Cal. LEXIS 4225 and 1991 Cal. LEXIS 4845 respectively; both orders are appended as Appendix D.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a); the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution, Article IV, Section 1; and the Full Faith and Credit Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1738.

The opinion of the California court of appeal was filed on May 12, 1992. Petitioner's petition for review to the California Supreme Court was denied on July 9, 1992. No petition for rehearing was filed.

The decision of the California court of appeal denying Petitioner's petition for writ of mandamus is a "final judgment or decree" under 28 U.S.C. § 1257, and conclusively determines the rights of Petitioner. Stern, Gressman & Shapiro, *Supreme Court Practice*, § 3.11, p. 135 (6th ed. 1986); *Madruga v. Sup. Ct. of California*, 346 U.S.

556, 558 (1954). Petitioner's rights have been adjudicated against it under federal law.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND STATUTES INVOLVED

United States Constitution, Article IV, Section 1:

> Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and Judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

Full Faith and Credit Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1738:

> The records and judicial proceedings of any court of any such State, Territory or Possession, or copies thereof, shall be proved or admitted in other courts within the United States and its Territories and Possessions by the attestation of the clerk and seal of the court annex, if a seal exists, together with a certificate of a judge of the court that the said attestation is in form.
>
> Such Acts, records and judicial proceedings or copies thereof, so authenticated, shall have the same Full Faith and Credit in every court within the United States and its Territory and Possession, as they have by law or usage in the courts of such State, Territory or Possession from which they are taken.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner is a defendant in a consolidated state court "toxic tort" action arising out of a chemical waste disposal site. The state action entails some 4,035 claims for personal injury, wrongful death and property damage, totalling nearly one billion dollars. Petitioner is also a plaintiff in a CERCLA cost recovery action pending in federal court and arising from the same site.

In an order that is not yet subject to appellate review, the district court in the federal action adjudicated certain state law counterclaims against Petitioner. Thereafter, the state trial court applied federal rules of res judicata to give collateral estoppel effect to the state law adjudications from the federal action. In doing so, the state trial court summarily adjudicated Petitioner's fault in the state court action. Under California law, the interlocutory federal order would not be entitled to preclusive effect.

In affirming the grant of collateral estoppel, the state court of appeal held that it was required to apply federal law. It then adopted the view espoused by approximately half of the federal circuits which apply federal rules of res judicata to determine the preclusive effect of federal judgments adjudicating state law claims. The remaining circuits apply state rules of res judicata to such orders.

Both the state and federal actions, and the proceedings from which this Petition arises, are described below.

1. The State Action.

Petitioner is a defendant in the action now pending in the Respondent Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Riverside entitled *Penny Newman, et al. v. J.B. Stringfellow, Jr., et al.*, Civil Action No. 165994MF and 167122, 167173, 167261, 167327, 167467, 167691, 168088, 168349, 168591, 168939, 169223, 169610, 169999 ("*Newman*"). *Newman* was commenced on September 28, 1984. Real parties in interest ("Real Parties") are the plaintiffs in *Newman*, who consist mostly of former and present residents of the community of Glen Avon, located approximately one mile from the Stringfellow Hazardous Waste Disposal Site (the "Site") in the County of Riverside. Real Parties also include defendants who were the owners and operators of the Site ("Stringfellow"), and numerous industrial, chemical and manufacturing concerns (the "Generator Defendants") that deposited chemical waste at the Site.

The Site was constructed by Stringfellow in 1956 and eventually consisted of at least 20 holding ponds into which some 34 million gallons of industrial waste acids and other chemicals were deposited over the years.

Real Party plaintiffs have sued Petitioner under California state law causes of action for (1) private nuisance, (2) breach of mandatory duty, (3) dangerous condition of public property and (4) inverse condemnation, claiming personal injuries and property damage from alleged exposure to chemicals released from the Site. Real Party defendants Stringfellow and the Generator Defendants have cross-complained against Petitioner for indemnity and contribution.

Trial of the first seventeen "test cases" in *Newman* is currently set to commence in early October 1992, and is estimated to last anywhere from nine to eighteen months. Liability findings made in these test cases will then be utilized in the trials of the remaining thousands of claims.

2. The Federal Action.

In 1983 the United States of America and Petitioner commenced the action entitled *United States, et al. v. J.B. Stringfellow, Jr., et al.*, Civ. No. 83-2501 JMI(Mx) in United States District Court, Central District of California (the "Federal Action"), seeking to recover from the responsible parties the costs of cleaning up the Site under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") (42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.*). The defendants in the Federal Action include Stringfellow and the Generator Defendants in *Newman*. Stringfellow and the Generator Defendants counterclaimed against Petitioner for contribution and indemnity under CERCLA and under state law theories of negligence, nuisance, breach of mandatory duty and dangerous condition of public property.

On June 4, 1987 the district court, Judge James M. Ideman, presiding, granted partial summary judgment against Stringfellow and the Generator Defendants, finding them liable to the United States and Petitioner for CERCLA response costs. *U.S. v. Stringfellow*, 661 F.Supp. 1053 (C.D. Cal. 1987). On August 24, 1988 Judge Ideman ordered that a fact finding hearing on Stringfellow's and the Generator Defendants' CERCLA and state law counterclaims against Petitioner be conducted by the Honorable

Harry V. Peetris (Los Angeles Superior Court Judge, Ret.), Special Master. The fact finding hearing commenced before a jury on March 30, 1989 and addressed only the State's liability for conduct prior to January 1, 1980.

At the close of evidence, the Special Master granted Stringfellow's and the Generator Defendants' motion for directed verdict with respect to Petitioner's liability under CERCLA (the "Directed Verdict Order"), denying the motion as to the state law claims and allowing them to go to the jury. On June 2, 1989 the jury returned a verdict finding that Petitioner was *not* liable under state law for negligence in investigating, choosing or designing the Site. However, the jury found Petitioner liable under state law for negligence in supervising the construction of the Site, for delay in cleanup activities at the Site, for creating and maintaining a dangerous condition of public property, for nuisance and for breach of mandatory duty.

On January 19, 1990 the Special Master entered "judgment" notwithstanding the verdict (the "JNOV Order")[1] against Petitioner as to each state law issue on which the jury had found in its favor. The Directed Verdict Order and JNOV Order were adopted by Judge Ideman with his orders of January 10, 1990 and June 5, 1990. It is the latter order of the district court, adjudicating the state law counterclaims against Petitioner, to which the Respondent trial court in *Newman* applied collateral estoppel.

---

[1] Although denominated as a "judgment," the JNOV Order is merely interlocutory, since no judgment or final record of decision has been issued in the Federal Action. 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

The Federal Action is yet to be completed, having been trifurcated into three phases: Phase 1 – liability; Phase 2 – remedy (costs, damages, and injunctive relief); and Phase 3 – contribution and allocation. The fact finding hearing was part of Phase 1. Recently, the Special Master presided over a trial to determine Petitioner's share of liability under both CERCLA and state law for the cost of remediating the Site. That matter is currently under submission. No judgment or other order from which an appeal may be taken pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 has been entered in the Federal Action.

3. The Proceedings From Which This Petition Arises.

On April 25, 1991, on motion of Real Party plaintiffs, the Respondent trial court in *Newman* applied federal rules of collateral estoppel to the state-law-based federal order to summarily adjudicate the following issues against Petitioner:

a. That Petitioner was negligent in investigating the Site;

b. That Petitioner was negligent in choosing the Site;

c. That Petitioner was negligent in designing the Site;

d. That Petitioner was negligent in supervising construction of the Site;

e. That Petitioner was negligent in delaying cleanup activities at the Site;

f. That Petitioner was negligent in failing to remedy conditions at the Site;

g. That Petitioner maintained a dangerous condition of public property at the Site;

h. That by at least January 10, 1975, the Site constituted a nuisance; and

i. That Petitioner created and maintained a nuisance at the Site.

These issues were adjudicated notwithstanding Petitioner's controverting evidence that at no time during the operation of the Site did Petitioner have a commercial, proprietary or managerial interest in the Site. Petitioner's evidence demonstrated that, instead, it was merely exercising its police powers in licensing and regulating activities at the Site for the protection of the health and safety of its citizens.

On June 7, 1991 Petitioner sought appellate review by way of a petition for peremptory writ of mandate.[2] On August 8, 1991 the court of appeal summarily denied the petition. (Appendix C.) On August 19, 1991 Petitioner petitioned the California Supreme Court for review of the appellate court's denial of mandamus.

On September 19, 1991 the California Supreme Court granted the petition for review. The California Supreme Court ordered the state appellate court to issue an alternative writ directing Respondent to set aside its order granting collateral estoppel or show cause why it should not be ordered to do so in light of this Court's holding in

---

[2] Respondent also granted Real Party defendants' motion for summary adjudication of the same issues on the same ground of collateral estoppel. Petitioner also petitioned for mandamus relief from that order. Both proceedings were consolidated on appeal and both are the subject of this Petition.

Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U.S. 674 (1938), and in light of California's requirement that an order be final on appeal before it may be given preclusive effect. *Producers Dairy Delivery Co. v. Sentry Ins. Co.*, 41 Cal.3d 903 (Cal. 1986). (Appendix D.)

On May 12, 1992 the court of appeal issued an unpublished opinion denying the petition for writ of mandate and discharging the alternative writ. The court of appeal framed the controlling issue as a federal one:

> "[W]ould a federal court apply its own law, or that of California in determining the issue; and, if federal law applies, what does it say?"

(Appendix A at p. App. 7.)

The court of appeal rejected the applicability of *Stoll*, which holds that state law determines the preclusive effect of state-law-based federal judgments. Instead, the state appellate court determined that *Stoll* no longer accurately states the law. (*Id.* at p. App. 9.)

While acknowledging that under California law the federal order would have no preclusive effect (*Id.* at p. App. 8), the court of appeal felt compelled to apply federal law to determine the preclusive effect of the state-law-based federal order. The federal circuits, however, are in conflict as to whether state or federal law measures the preclusive effect of such an order. The appellate court adopted the view espoused by those circuits that apply federal law, and affirmed the trial court's grant of collateral estoppel.

On June 22, 1992 Petitioner again petitioned the California Supreme Court for review. On July 19, 1992 the California Supreme Court denied review. (Appendix B.)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

The court of appeal's decision is based upon a rule of law embraced by approximately half of a deeply divided federal judiciary. Under this rule, federal law determines the preclusive effect of a federal judgment adjudicating state law claims. This rule, however, contravenes both *Stoll* and the principles enunciated by this Court in *Erie v. Tompkins, supra.*

The better rule, which accords with both *Stoll* and *Erie,* is that of the other half of the federal circuits which uses state law to measure the preclusive effect of a state-law-based federal judgment. Review is necessary to resolve the conflict and to reaffirm the principles of *Stoll* and *Erie* which secure the sovereignty of the states in our federalist system.

1. The State Court of Appeal's Decision Conflicts With Applicable Decisions of This Court.

State law must determine the preclusive effect of a federal order adjudicating state law claims. This was confirmed by the Court over a century ago in *Dupasseur v. Rochereau,* 88 U.S. 130 (1875):

> "The only effect that can be justly claimed by the [judgment in the Circuit Court of the United States], *is such as would belong to judgments of the*

> *State Courts rendered under similar circumstances.* . . . [The] court, deriving its jurisdiction solely from the citizenship of the parties, was in the exercise of jurisdiction to administer the laws of the State, and its proceedings were had in accordance with the forms and course of proceeding in the State Courts. It is apparent, therefore, that *no higher sanctity or effect can be claimed for the judgment of the Circuit Court of the United States rendered in such a case under such circumstances than is due to the judgments of the State Courts in a like case and under similar circumstances."*

Id. at 135 (emphasis added).

More than fifty years later, the Court reaffirmed this rule in *Stoll, supra:*

> "Under [the Full Faith and Credit Act] judgments and decrees of the Federal courts in a state are declared to have the same dignity in the courts of that state as those of its own courts in a like case and under similar circumstances."

Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U.S. at 170.

This statement of the law accords with both federal and constitutional law. The Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution provides that "Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and Judicial Proceedings of the other States." United States Constitution, Article IV, Section 1. The Clause simply directs the courts of each state to give preclusive effect to the judgment of a sister state.

Similarly, the Full Faith and Credit Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1738, provides that full faith and credit be given "in

every court within the United States and its Territories and Possessions as they have by law or usage in the courts of such State, Territory or Possession from which they are taken." The Act only requires that federal courts give preclusive effect to state court judgments under such states' laws. *Magnolia Petroleum Co. v. Hunt*, 320 U.S. 430, 445-46 (1943), *overruled on other grounds, Thomas v. Washington Gas Light Co.*, 448 U.S. 261, 286 (1980). Neither the Clause nor the Act, however, requires the states to apply federal law to determine the preclusive effect of state-law-based federal judgments.

Since *Stoll*, the Court has neither contradicted nor qualified its expression of the law. Nonetheless, the state court of appeal found that "*Stoll* does not accurately state the law." (Appendix A, at p. App. 9).[3] Freed by this conclusion, the court of appeal refused to apply California's law of collateral estoppel which would deny the federal order preclusive effect. Instead, the appellate court felt governed by a contrary federal rule. However, the federal circuits are themselves divided on the question of which law, state or federal, controls.

2. This Court Should Intervene to Resolve a Conflict Among the Circuits Concerning Which Law, State or Federal, Determines the Preclusive Effect of a State-Law-Based Federal Order.

The Third, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth and D.C. Circuits apply state rules to determine the preclusive effect of a

---

[3] The court of appeal disparaged *Stoll* as a relic of the Conformity Act that preceded the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, however, were adopted by the Supreme Court in 1937 and enacted by Congress shortly thereafter in 1938. *Stoll* was decided in November 1938.

prior state-law-based federal judgment. *Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Lumbermens Mut. Casualty Co.*, 411 F.2d 88, 94 (3rd Cir. 1969); *Makariw v. Rinard*, 336 F.2d 333, 334 (3rd Cir. 1964); *Hartmann v. Time, Inc.*, 166 F.2d 127, 137-138 (3rd Cir. 1947), *cert. denied*, 334 U.S. 838 (1948); *Albanese v. Emerson Elec. Co.*, 552 F.Supp. 694, 698 (D. Del. 1982); *Williams v. Ocean Transp. Lines, Inc.*, 425 F.2d 1183, 1190 (3rd Cir. 1970) (state law of first forum applied under full faith and credit clause but the federal court sitting in federal question jurisdiction may give greater preclusive effect than a state court would); *Gasbarra v. Park-Ohio Indus. Inc.*, 655 F.2d 119, 122 (7th Cir. 1981); *Midcontinent Broadcasting Co. v. Dresser Indus.*, 486 F.Supp. 858, 860 (D.S.D. 1980), *aff'd*, 669 F.2d 564 (8th Cir. 1982); *Semler v. Psychiatric Institute of Washington, D.C.*, 575 F.2d 922, 927-28 (D.C. Cir. 1978); *Rust v. First Nat'l Bank*, 466 F.Supp. 135, 138 (D. Wyo. 1979); *see discussion*, Smith, Note, *Erie and the Preclusive Effect of Federal Diversity Judgments*, 85 Colum. L.Rev. 505 (1985).

By contrast, the First, Second, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits[4] apply federal res judicata rules to such judgments. *Johnson v. SCA Disposal Services*, 931 F.2d 970, 974 (1st Cir. 1991); *Kern v. Hettinger*, 303 F.2d 333, 340 (2nd Cir. 1962); *Shoup v. Bell & Howell Co.*, 872 F.2d 1178, 1179 (4th Cir. 1989); *Hardy v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp.*, 681 F.2d 334, 337 (5th Cir. 1982); *Aerojet-General Corp. v. Askew*, 511 F.2d 710, 716-717 (5th Cir. 1975), *cert. denied*, 423 U.S. 908 (1975); *Silcox v. United*

---

[4] The D.C. Circuit is itself in conflict. *Compare Semler, supra*, with *Hunt v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 707 F.2d 1493 (D.C. Cir. 1983).

Trucking Service, Inc., 687 F.2d 848, 852 (6th Cir. 1982); *Petromanagement Corp. v. Acme-Thomas Joint Venture*, 835 F.2d 1329, 1332-1333 (10th Cir. 1988); *Empire Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. J. Transport, Inc.*, 880 F.2d 1291, 1293 n.2 (11th Cir. 1989).

This division in the circuits exemplifies the inherent tension between the role of federal and state law in a federalist system that recognizes the sovereignty of the individual states. The conflict arises from the disagreement among the circuits as to whether rules of res judicata are procedural or substantive under the principles laid down in *Erie*.[5] *Compare Gasbarra v. Park-Ohio Indus., supra*, 655 F.2d at 122; *Gatzmeyer v. Vogel*, 589 F.2d 360, 362 (8th Cir. 1978); *Hartmann v. Time, Inc., supra*, 166 F.2d at 138 (all holding state law controls) *with Hunt v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 707 F.2d 1493 (D.C. Cir. 1983); *Aerojet-General Corp. v. Askew, supra*, 511 F.2d at 716-717; *Kern v. Hettinger, supra*, 303 F.2d at 340 (all holding federal law controls).

Fundamentally, the controversy centers on whether the role of a federal court sitting in diversity is merely that of another tribunal of the state or a strictly federal forum.[6] The issue has engendered considerable

---

[5] In *Erie*, this Court held that federal courts exercising diversity jurisdiction must follow state law in substantive matters and federal law on merely procedural ones. 304 U.S. at 78. It is noteworthy that *Erie* was decided the same year as *Stoll*.

[6] Although the state law claims in the Federal Action were pendant and not based on diversity jurisdiction, there is no difference for purposes of determining the application of state law under *Erie*. *Gramm v. Lincoln*, 257 F.2d 250, 255 n.6 (9th Cir. 1958).

discussion among the commentators, many of whom have called for resolution by this Court. *See, e.g.*, Emerson, Note, *Res Judicata in the Federal Courts: Federal or State Law?*, 17 Ind. L. Rev. 523, 553 (1984); Smith, *supra;* Degnan, *Federalized Res Judicata*, 85 Yale L. J. 741 (1976); 1A J. Moore, *Moore's Federal Practice*, ¶0.311 (1991); 18 Wright, Miller & Cooper, *Federal Practice and Procedure, Jurisdiction* § 4472 (1981); Vestal, *Res Judicata/Preclusion by Judgment: The Law Applied in Federal Courts*, 66 Mich. L. Rev. 1723 (1968); Shapiro, *State Courts and Federal Declaratory Judgments*, 74 Nw. U. L. Rev. 759 (1979); Boock, Comment, *Res Judicata in the Federal Courts: Application of Federal or State Law: Possible Differences Between the Two*, 51 Cornell L.Q. 96 (1965); Brennan, Note, *Collateral Estoppel in Asbestos Litigation*, 14 Envtl. L. 197 (1983); Burbank, *Interjurisdictional Preclusion, Full Faith and Credit and Federal Common Law: A General Approach*, 71 Cornell L. Rev. 733 (1986).

The question was expressly left open by this Court in *Heiser v. Woodruff*, 327 U.S. 726, 731-32 (1946). The issue must be settled. Absent resolution, both federal and state courts are bereft of guidance and left to divine the appropriate rule for a given case.

3. Rules of Collateral Estoppel Are Substantive, Not Procedural, and Thus *Erie* Requires That State Law Determine the Preclusive Effect of a State-Law-Based Federal Order.

Those federal circuits which use federal law to determine the preclusive effect of a state-law-based federal order contravene *Erie*. Under *Erie*, federal law must yield to state law where a state substantive right is implicated.

304 U.S. at 78. Accordingly, when a state law, such as California's in this case, denies collateral estoppel effect to an order that has not been tested on appeal, the federal court exercising jurisdiction over a pendant state law claim is bound by that law.

As Justice Frankfurter stated in *Guaranty Trust Co. v. York*, 326 U.S. 99, 112 (1945):

> "A federal court sitting in diversity is for that purpose, in effect, only another court of the State.
>
> * * *
>
> The source of substantive rights enforced by a Federal Court under diversity jurisdiction . . . is the law of the States. Whenever that law is authoritatively declared by a State, whether it is voiced by the legislature or its highest court, such law ought to govern in litigation found on that law, whether the forum of application is a State or a Federal court. . . . "

The substantive character of collateral estoppel is well illustrated by the instant case. Under California law, the interlocutory federal order would have no preclusive effect. Through the application of federal law, however, Petitioner is now deprived of its right to present a defense on the merits at trial in *Newman*, and is exposed to astronomical liability as collateral estoppel is extended repeatedly to each of the remaining plaintiffs' claims.

Yet, the federal order is substantively deficient and reversal by the Ninth Circuit is likely. For example, Petitioner unsuccessfully argued to the district court that the state law counterclaims were barred by the doctrine of sovereign immunity and the Eleventh Amendment of the

United States Constitution. Within the last several months, however, in a CERCLA action involving the same parties, the same district court in an opinion issued by another judge held that sovereign immunity barred identical counterclaims against Petitioner. *U.S. v. Montrose Chemical Corp.*, 788 F.Supp. 1485, 1490-1491 (C.D. Cal. 1992)[7] This conflict in the same district court highlights the need for federal appellate resolution of this and many of the other unsettled legal issues on which the federal order rests.[8]

If only one of Petitioner's objections to the state-law-based federal order is sustained, the foundation for

---

[7] The *Montrose* court also found that the counterclaimants could maintain defensive claims for set off and recoupment against Petitioner. *Id.*

[8] Petitioner also argued unsuccessfully in the Federal Action that once it was found liable under CERCLA the state law counterclaims were preempted. This is based on the explicit language of CERCLA:

> "Any person may seek contribution from any other person who *is liable* or potentially liable under Section 9607(a) of this title. . . . Such claims *shall* be brought in accordance with this section and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and *shall* be governed by Federal law."

42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1). (Emphasis added.)

On the only occasion it has addressed the issue, the Ninth Circuit found Petitioner's preemption argument "plausible," thereby reversing the district court's imposition of sanctions against Petitioner for asserting the argument. *U.S. v. Stringfellow*, 911 F.2d 225 (9th Cir. 1990). Once preempted, the district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to proceed further with the state law counterclaims, rendering the federal order adjudicating those claims void. *Beers v. Southern Pacific Transp. Co.*, 703 F.2d 425, 429 (9th Cir. 1983).

collateral estoppel collapses.[9] Of course, reversal by the Ninth Circuit will not mitigate the enormous waste that will result from the needless retrial of *Newman* following such reversal.

Most egregious, though, is the prospect that reversal of the federal order's state law adjudications will only come after final judgment has already been entered in *Newman*. By then Petitioner, without benefit of trial or appeal on the merits in any forum, will have already been shackled with a crippling judgment based on the collateral estoppel effect of a void order.

The question of whose law, state or federal, determines the preclusive effect of a state-law-based federal order is substantive. *Erie* requires the application of state law.

---

[9] Indeed, as the leading commentators have warned: "The major problem is that a second judgment based on the preclusive effects of the first judgment should not stand if the first judgment is reversed. In some cases, litigants and the courts have collaborated so ineptly that the second judgment has become conclusive even though it rested solely on a judgment that was later reversed. It should always be avoided . . . [being] the grotesque result of perpetuating a judgment that rests on nothing more than a subsequently reversed judgment. . . ."

18 Wright, Miller & Cooper, *Federal Practice & Procedure* § 4433 at 311-12 (1981); *see also*, 1B Moore, *Moore's Federal Practice*, ¶0.441[4] at 746-47 (1991).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that this Petition for Certiorari be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS A. KEARNEY
Counsel of Record

FRANCIS BREIDENBACH
BREIDENBACH, SWAINSTON, CRISPO & WAY
A Law Corporation
611 West Sixth Street, 16th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-3100
Telephone: (213) 624-3431

DANIEL E. LUNGREN
Attorney General of the State of California
MARVIN GOLDSMITH
Senior Assistant Attorney General
WILLIAM L. CARTER
Deputy Attorney General
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
300 S. Spring Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, California 90010-3100
Telephone: (213) 897-2149
Counsel for Petitioner

Of Counsel:
HOWARD L. HALM
PAUL A. ALVAREZ
BREIDENBACH, SWAINSTON, CRISPO & WAY
A Law Corporation
611 West Sixth Street, 16th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-3100
Telephone: (213) 624-3431

MARK A. WEINSTEIN
VEATCH, CARLSON, GROGAN & NELSON
3926 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90010-3100
Telephone: (213) 381-2861

September 11, 1992

APPENDIX A

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

COURT OF APPEAL – STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner, v. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, Respondent. PENNY NEWMAN, et al., Real Parties in Interest.	E009583 COUNTY NO. 165994 MF OPINION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner, v. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, Respondent. J.B. STRINGFELLOW, et al., Real Parties in Interest.	E010021 COUNTY NO. 165994 MF (Filed May 12, 1992)

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING; petitions for writ of mandate. Riverside County; E. Michael Kaiser, Judge. Petitions denied.

Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General, Marvin Goldsmith, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and William L. Carter, Deputy Attorney General, Breidenbach, Swainston, Crispo & Way, Howard Halm, Francis

Breidenbach, Thomas A. Kearney, and Paul Alvarez, Veatch, Carlson, Grogan & Nelson and Mark A. Weinstein for Petitioner.

No appearance for Respondent.

Klein, Wegis, Denetale, Hall, Goldner & Muir, and Anthony J. Klein, Milberg Weiss Bershad Spechtrie & Lerach, Melvyn I. Weiss, William S. Lerach, John E. Grasberger, Anita Meley Laing, and Steven W. Pepich, Welebir & Lister, Douglas F. Welebir, McCuthchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen, Barry P. Goode and William D. Kissinger, for Real Parties in Interest.

Pursuant to the directive of the Supreme Court, we vacated our original orders denying the State of California's ("State") petitions for writ of mandate and issued an alternative writ, directing real parties to show cause why the relief sought should not be granted.[1] For the reasons which follow, we conclude that our original order was correct, and again deny the petitions.[2]

---

[1] The first petition filed by the State (E009583) involved a summary adjudication of issues in favor of plaintiffs Penny Newman, et al. Following our denial of that petition, the State filed a second petition involving a virtually identical adjudication in favor of codefendants J.B. Stringfellow, Jr., et al. (E010021). This petition was also denied, and the State sought further review of both matters. Both were transferred back to this court by the Supreme Court, and we consolidated them for briefing and decision. Our references to "real parties," unless otherwise specified, apply both to plaintiffs and codefendants.

[2] The State contends that the Supreme Court "has determined that the grant of collateral estoppel must be reversed on the basis of *finality*." This is not correct. The Supreme Court transferred the matter to us with instructions pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.4(e). The citation of authority

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The underlying action, entitled Penny Newman, et al., v. J.B. Stringfellow, et al., is an action for damages involving the operation of a toxic waste disposal facility located in Riverside County, commonly known at this time as the "Stringfellow Acid Pits." Among the defendants are the operator, Stringfellow, a large number of

---

which is to be addressed by the parties, and considered by this court, is not a directive to rule in a particular way. Indeed, we were instructed to command respondent and/or real parties to *show cause* why relief should not be granted as prayed; it is absurd to insist that we are barred from considering the arguments and authorities which we have been ordered to solicit.

The State insults the deliberative process by taking the position that in the 10 calendar days between the receipt of real parties' opposition and the issuance of the Supreme Court's order, six justices thoroughly reviewed the massive file, studied the lengthy points and authorities on both sides, and conducted the in-depth independent research necessary to reach a firm conclusion on this complex issue.

Obviously, the directions may well represent the high court's belief or impression that our original decision was incorrect, and we are required to give careful consideration to the authorities cited. However, a court which receives a retransferred case is free to exercise its best judgment on the issues, and need not slavishly follow even the most pointed directions, as long as the order is not framed in specifically mandatory terms. For an extreme example, see *People v. Riegler* (1981) 127 Cal.App.3d 317 [confirming previous reversal after remand on certiorari from the United States Supreme Court, with directions]; *People v. Riegler* (1984) 159 Cal.App.3d 1061 [again confirming reversal and rejecting application of the authority cited by the high court in its second remand following certiorari].)

Our Supreme Court has itself followed this practice. (See *People v. Lanphear* (1980) 28 Cal.3d 463.)

generators and dumpers of wastes, and the State. Currently in progress is a related action in federal court, entitled United States, et al., v. J.B. Stringfellow, Jr., et al., No. CV 83-2501 JMI (MCx). The federal action constitutes the effort by the United States, joined by the State, to compel various parties to contribute clean-up funds under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA," 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq.). The plaintiffs in that action have also asserted that defendants are liable under other federal statutes, state statutes, and the common-law principles of nuisance. (See *United States v. Stringfellow* (C.D. Cal. 1987) 661 F.Supp. 1053, 1059.)

In the federal action, various defendants have been found liable for CERCLA costs. These defendants have counterclaimed against the State for contribution and indemnity pursuant to CERCLA, other federal statutes, and state law theories both statutory and common law. The issues raised by the counterclaims include negligence.

Determination of the issues related to the State's liability on the counterclaims based on its activities up through 1980 was referred by the United States District Court to a special master, in the hope that resolution of this question might facilitate settlement. Following a 37-day jury trial, the special master entered a directed verdict finding State liable as an owner-operator of the facility and/or as a disposer of wastes under section 107, subdivision (a) of CERCLA. (42 U.S.C. § 9607.) The jury also returned a verdict finding the State liable, making several specific findings of negligence; for example, it found that the State had been negligent in "supervising

construction of the [disposal] site." Post-trial motions were filed by several parties, and the special master granted a defendants' motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict, thereby making new findings that the State was negligent in additional respects.

After the post-trial proceedings, the State filed objections with the district judge. These objections, including an objection to the sufficiency of the evidence to support the judgment notwithstanding the verdict, were overruled.

The federal action is proceeding to the stages of determining damages and contribution, and it is not disputed that the orders and findings described above are not yet subject to review as of right by the federal court of appeals.[3]

---

[3] At one hearing below, counsel for one of the defendants pointed out that the State could, under federal law, request permission to take an interlocutory appeal. (See 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b).) The State's attorney then informed the court that papers to that effect were in fact ready to be filed. At oral argument, however, we were informed that the district court had refused to certify the matter as appropriate for the interlocutory appeal. We agree that this refusal should not be taken to reflect on the merits of the State's legal position. However, it remains appropriate to ask why the State waited so long to seek such review.

The jury in the federal action returned its verdict on June 2, 1989. On January 9, 1990, the district court judge confirmed the special master's order granting a partial directed verdict against the State. On June 4, 1990, the district court confirmed the special master's order granting a partial judgment notwithstanding the verdict against the State.

Proceedings in the matter below did not reach the hearing stage until April 12, 1991. Real parties suggested below that the

The matters before this court arose when plaintiffs and the codefendants in the state action filed motions for summary adjudication of issues. (Code Civ. Proc., § 437c.) In these motions, real parties asked to have the trial court apply the doctrine of collateral estoppel to the federal court findings, and give preclusive effect to the findings of negligence against the State. The trial court agreed with real parties, granting first plaintiffs' motion and then that of the codefendants. These petitions followed.[4]

DISCUSSION

The question of whether collateral estoppel should be applied has two prongs. First, it must be determined whether the earlier order or judgment is entitled to preclusive effect. Even if an order may be applied collaterally, however, the court retains discretion whether or not to do so.

State had not moved with any speed to seek interlocutory review because it did not wish to risk being bound by an affirmance. This is not implausible. (See fn. 12, *infra*.)

[4] To avoid monotony, we use the terms "collateral estoppel" and "issue preclusion" interchangeably.

The doctrine of res judicata – also sometimes referred to as claim preclusion – bars a party to an action from relitigating his claims in a second proceeding. Collateral estoppel, or issue preclusion, operates to prevent a party or his privy from relitigating issues which were actually decided in earlier litigation. (*Gargallo v. Merriil Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.* (6th Cir. 1990) 918 F.2d 658, 660-661.)

I.

A.

What Law Applies in Determining the Effect of the Federal Orders?

Our initial order denying the State's petition with respect to the plaintiffs' motion indicated that, in our view, the findings were entitled to be given preclusive or collateral estoppel effect; this was based on our review of federal law. However, in its orders retransferring the matters to this court, the Supreme Court directed our attention to two cases involving the doctrine of collateral estoppel. As the orders did not contain suggested authority concerning the trial court's exercise of its discretion to apply the doctrine, we will focus our discussion on the essential issue of whether the findings qualify as preclusive.

This question in turn has two facets: would a federal court apply its own law, or that of California in determining the issue; and, if federal law applies, what does it say?

The Supreme Court has directed our attention to the case of *Stoll v. Gottlieb* (1938) 305 U.S. 165, 170, which holds – on a point not previously raised by any party – that state courts may apply the state law of res judicata to determine the effect of a prior judgment or order which was rendered by a federal court *on a state law claim*. Thus if *Stoll* is an authoritative statement of the law, the first argument is that a federal court would apply the California law of res judicata and collateral estoppel here, because the issues determined by the jury were relevant not to the CERCLA claims – which are based on strict

liability – but to the cross-defendants' state-law claims for indemnity based on shared negligence. The second argument, which we discuss below, is that, even if federal courts would not do so, California should exercise the power to apply its own law of preclusion in the circumstances presented by this case.[5]

The California rule is that a judgment is not entitled to collateral estoppel effect until it is no longer subject to direct attack. (*Agarwal v. Johnson* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 932, 954 at fn. 11; 7 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (3d ed. 1985) § 212, p. 649.) Thus, it is quite clear that under California law, the orders would not be entitled to res judicata or collateral estoppel effect.

On the other hand, as our Supreme Court has consistently recognized, a federal court " 'judgment or order, once rendered, is final for purposes of res judicata *until reversed on appeal or modified or set aside in the court of rendition.*'[Citations.]" (*Calhoun v. Franchise Tax Board* (1978) 20 Cal.3d 881, 887; emphasis added; see *Reed v. Allen* (1932) 286 U.S. 191, 197; *Martin v. Malhoyt* (D.C. Cir. 1987) 830 F.2d 237, 264.) And it has also been repeatedly

---

[5] As real parties quite properly pointed out at oral argument, *Stoll v. Gottleib* actually involved the preclusive effect of a prior federal judgment based on *federal* law, and the statement concerning the application of state law to a state law-based judgment was dicta. However, this statement in *Stoll* was a correct statement of then-existing law, as drawn from *Dupasseur v. Rochereau, infra,* which *did* involve the state's right to apply its own law of res judicata or collateral estoppel to a prior federal judgment based on state law, and that judgment's lack of any greater effect than the state would give it. We will refer to the principle hereafter as the "*Stoll-Dupasseur*" rule.

held that California courts will give the same effect to a federal court's order as that court would give it. (*Younger v. Jensen* (1980) 26 Cal.3d 397, 411; *Estate of Hilton* (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1145, 1168.)

Under *Stoll v. Gottlieb*, the findings on state-law issues would not be entitled to preclusive effect in federal courts, because they would not be so entitled in California if rendered by a state court. Thus, under *Younger* and *Hilton*, they would not be entitled to such effect here, but *not* because California law forbids it; the result of non-preclusion would be compelled *because the findings would be denied such effect in the federal courts.*

However, real parties argue vigorously that *Stoll v. Gottlieb* does not accurately state the law, and we agree. Under current federal practice, the federal courts determine the effect of their orders and judgment in accordance with federal law, and this applies equally to judgments rendered on state law issues. As a result, the orders in question *do* qualify for preclusive effect in federal courts, and the courts of this state must give them the same effect.

Before the adoption of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, "federal procedure on the law side conformed to state procedure [under the Conformity Act]. Since procedural law largely determines the matters that may be adjudicated in an action, state law had to be considered in ascertaining the effect of a federal judgment." (Rest.2d Judgments, § 87, com. (a).) This was the background for *Stoll v. Gottlieb* and the case on which it relied for the point in issue, *Dupasseur v. Rochereau* (1875) 88

U.S. 130. However, the development of federal procedural law has led to the recognition that federal courts have the power to determine the effect of their own judgments, even if based on questions of state law.

In *Kern v. Hettinger* (2d Cir. 1962) 303 F.2d 333, 340, the court stated that "[o]ne of the strongest policies a court can have is that of determining the scope of its own judgments." While recognizing that where a federal court exercises diversity jurisdiction, the state fixes and controls the rights and obligations of the parties, the court refused to extend this dominion to the determination of res judicata: " . . . it would be strange doctrine to allow a state to nullify the judgments of federal courts constitutionally established and given power also to enforce state created rights." (303 F.2d at p. 340.) (Accord, in similarly strong terms, *Aerojet-General Corporation v. Askew* (5th Cir. 1975) 511 F.2d 710, 716-717 (cert. den. 423 U.S. 908), also a diversity case.)

This rule has been adopted by the majority of federal courts, including the courts deciding *Empire Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. J. Transport* (11th Cir. 1989) 880 F.2d 1291,-1293 at fn.2, and *Johnson v. SCA Disposal Services of New England* (1st Cir. 1991) 931 F.2d 970, 974 (coincidentally a toxic dump case). Both courts indicated that they believed their holdings to follow the mainstream of the law.

It is true, as the State asserts with its own list of cases, that the circuits do not necessarily all follow the same rule in applying the principles of res judicata or collateral estoppel; there are authorities supporting the State's position and the rule of *Stoll*. (E.g., *Constantini v.*

Trans World Airlines (9th Cir. 1982) 681 F.2d 1199, 1201.)[6] We do not think it useful to measure one list of authorities against another by weight; we believe the principle followed in *Empire Fire & Marine, supra,* to be preferable and supported by the best-reasoned cases.

As an influential commentator observed 30 years ago, "Some res judicata results are controlled by the rules of civil procedure, which should be binding on all courts. Res judicata doctrines that do not draw directly from the rules of civil procedure are nonetheless deeply dependent upon federal procedure, and are supported by 'the nature of judicial power to determine its own boundaries' [citation]. Federal courts thus should be free both to determine the res judicata effects of their own judgments between themselves and to bind state courts to the same rules, even as to substantive matters that otherwise are controlled by state law." (18 Wright, Miller & Cooper, Federal Practice and Procedure (1981) Jurisdiction, § 4472, p. 726.)

The *Stoll-Dupasseur* rule was followed at a time when the federal courts were bound to follow state procedures under the Conformity Act. (Former 28 U.S.C. § 724.) As a result, " . . . all the rules of practice and procedure of the federal courts were the same as those of the states in which they sat . . . " (Degnan, *Federalized Res Judicata* (1976) 85 Yale Law Journal 741, 756-757.) However, the Conformity Act was repealed in 1934 by the adoption of the Rules Enabling Act (now see 28 U.S.C. § 2072), and

---

[6] We note, however, that the authorities cited by the State are all less recent than *Empire Fire* and *Johnson, supra.*

with the adoption of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1938 " . . . [f]ederal practice came to differ from that of nearly all the states, and the earlier arguments for recognition of federal judgments based on conformity lost their force." (Id. at p. 757.) (See also *Carnegie Nat. Bank v. City of Wolf Point* (9th Cir. 1940) 110 F.2d 569, 572, recognizing the primacy of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the inapplicability of the Conformity Act.)[7] The preference for self-determination on procedural issues, with respect to preclusion, has been followed both in cases specifically governed by a federal rule (*Kern, supra*) and those depending only on decisional procedural law (*Aerojet General, supra*).

We agree that a court must have the power to determine the effect of *all* of its decisions; the contrary rule results in inconsistencies which cannot be justified by a rule of deference to state law. If a court has been invested with the power to determine an issue, it is surely "inappropriate," in Professor Degnan's words, for another court to decide whether that determination should be given effect. (Degnan, *supra*, at p. 769.)

It is also worthy of note that strict adherence to the *Stoll-Dupasseur* rule could have paradoxical and contradictory results in matters such as the one before us. The federal action here involves both issues of pure federal

---

[7] Indeed, federal courts had never deferred slavishly to state procedures. Thus, in *Herron v. Southern Pacific Co.* (1931) 283 U.S. 91, 94, the court upheld the right of a federal judge sitting in diversity to order a directed verdict, despite a contrary state rule. The court held that " . . . state laws cannot alter the essential character or function of a federal court."

law under CERCLA, and state law claims pendent thereto. It is far from impossible that a set of facts might give rise to a single set of findings or rulings which were relevant under both state and federal law. Under *Stoll*, the preclusive effect of one set of such findings or rulings would be governed by federal law, while the effect of the other set would be controlled by state law. If the law of the forum state differed from federal practice on the point, the result, where preclusion was claimed in a subsequent action, could well be utter confusion and inequity.

Parenthetically, we observe that a variation of the rule which permits the federal courts to determine the effect of their own orders has been employed in the Ninth Circuit, and leads to the same result. A federal court may, for example, elect to follow the law of the state in which it sits, when determining the effect of a judgment rendered in a diversity action or otherwise under state law. However, if, on that point, that state requires deference to the federal court's decision, the somewhat circular result is that the federal court will follow general federal law. (*Bates v. Union Oil Co. of California* (9th Cir. 1991) 944 F.2d 647, 649; see also *Costantini v. Trans World Airlines, supra,* 681 F.2d 1199, 1201.) Thus, even though that federal court looks to state law, it accepts the state's policy of deference rather than reaching the actual state law on preclusion.

B.

Should A Different Rule Apply Where the Prior Federal Judgment Determines State Law Issues?

The State, however, points out that most of the California decisions deferring to federal law on the preclusive effect of federal judgments involve prior federal judgments on issues of *federal* law. It is therefore suggested that we refuse to defer where the prior federal judgment involves issues of *state* law brought, arguably fortuitously, in the federal forum, and that this is authorized by the *Stoll-Dupasseur* rule.

We decline to establish such a variable rule for determining the effect of federal judgments, and we do so for several reasons. First, it is inconsistent with the respect due from one court to another, and constitutes an interference with the first court's judgments, as explained above. Second, there is the danger of contradictory results to which we adverted above. Third, insofar as federal diversity jurisdiction was established to provide a neutral forum, and alleviate fears of local bias against an out-of-state resident (see 28 U.S.C. § 1332; *Pease v. Peck* (1855) 59 U.S. 595, 599), its purpose would hardly be served by allowing the state court to apply its own rules on whether it would respect the federal judgment.

We also find no support for such an approach in the cases. Although *Younger v. Jensen* and *Estate of Hilton, supra,* both involved prior federal judgments on federal issues, we find no authority suggesting that the rule is different when the federal judgment determined state law issues. Thus, in *In re Bailleaux* (1956) 47 Cal.2d 258, 261 (disapproved on other grounds in *In re Russell* (1974) 12

Cal.3d 229, 235), our Supreme Court held that federal law must be applied to determine the preclusive effect of a prior federal judgment in habeas corpus, in which the issues were apparently whether or not the petitioner had satisfied his state parole requirements. Similarly, in *United States Fire Ins. Co. v. Johansen* (1969) 270 Cal.App.2d 824, 834, the court assumed without comment that it would be bound to follow the federal rule on preclusion with respect to a federal judgment which, insofar as is reflected by the opinion, involved issues of state law.

Finally, in *Levy v. Cohen* (1977) 19 Cal.3d 165, our Supreme Court was faced with the question of what effect should be given to the plaintiff's failure to press his claim in a prior federal bankruptcy proceeding, which had resulted in a release of the individual defendants from all liabilities of a limited partnership. In the instant action, plaintiff was suing to recover on promissory notes; that action involved pure questions of state law.

In holding that plaintiff's suit was barred, the court looked to federal law to determine the scope of the bankruptcy judgment. (19 Cal.3d at p. 173.) It found that the federal rule made the bankruptcy judgment binding on a non-appearing creditor such as plaintiff, even though plaintiff's demand had never actually been litigated. The result of the *Levy* court's deference to the federal rule on preclusion was that a state-law claim was held barred in the courts of this state.

While *Levy* is not directly on point, it reflects a willingness to allow the federal court to determine all aspects of the effect of its own judgment, and it does so in the

context of an unadjudicated state-law claim which is thereby forever lost. We can find in these authorities no indication that California would adopt a jealous rule aimed at exerting special powers over a federal court adjudication based on California law.[8]

As a last note, we briefly mention below the limited extent to which California may deny preclusive effect to a prior judgment if the result would be unjust. (See fn. 12, *infra*.) The extent to which California may refuse to give full faith and credit to a sister state judgment is also sharply limited; this state must recognize the foreign judgment even if it offends a strong public policy. (See *World Wide Imports, Inc. v. Bartel* (1983) 145 Cal.App.3d 1006, 1011.) Similarly, we think California must apply even-handed rules of respect to the judgments of other courts, even if by so doing state interests may be adversely impacted.

We therefore conclude that a federal court would look to its own law to determine the effect of the orders in question; even if it looked to California law, the policy of this state would reflect the inquiry back to federal

---

[8] Federal courts adopt a uniform rule of deference, according the same preclusive effect to a prior state law judgment as that state would do, even if the judgment determined issues relating to federal law. Thus, in *Migra v. Warren City School Dist. Bd. of Educ.* (1984) 465 U.S. 75, 81, it was held that the state's law of preclusion applied to determine whether a prior state judgment on plaintiff's common-law action barred a subsequent federal civil rights action based on the same facts.

authority. In either case, California respects the final federal decision on the issue.[9]

C.

What Effect Would the Orders Have Under Federal Law?

As we noted above, a federal judgment is routinely given preclusive effect unless and until it is reversed on appeal. However, this result is not invariable, and the State argues that the order and findings in question are not entitled to such effect.

State places primary reliance upon *Luben Industries, Inc. v. United States* (9th Cir. 1983) 707 F.2d 1037. In that case, the court of appeals held that the district court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to give preclusive effect to a previous district court ruling on the identical issue of tax law involved in the current proceeding. The court relied on three particular factors as supporting the district court's ruling – the finding that the earlier ruling was subject to revision by the first court, that it was not then yet subject to appeal[10], and that the government – the losing party – had not had a "full and fair opportunity to litigate" the issue in dispute. The latter point

---

[9] Having reached this conclusion, we need not discuss the second case to which our Supreme Court directed our attention, *Producers Dairy Delivery Co. v. Sentry Ins. Co.* (1986) 41 Cal.3d 903. As we noted above, we recognize that California law, as set forth in that case, would not permit the application of collateral estoppel. However, we have found California law to be not controlling.

[10] The appeal in the first case was still pending at the time *Luben* was decided by the court of appeals.

was in turn based on the fact that no appeal was yet possible. (707 F.2d at p. 1040.)

We do not find *Luben* controlling. That case, as do many federal authorities (see also *Robi v. Five Platters, Inc.* (9th Cir. 1988) 838 F.2d 318, 327) also, relied on the Restatement Second, Judgments, quoting section 13, comment (g) as follows:

> "Thus preclusion should be refused if the decision was avowedly tentative. On the other hand, that the parties were fully heard, that the court supported its decision with a reasoned opinion, that the decision was subject to appeal or was in fact reviewed on appeal, are factors supporting the conclusion that the decision is final for the purpose of preclusion."

In *Robi*, the court, citing the same section of the Restatement, held that the pendency of an appeal did not deprive a judgment of preclusive effect where the parties had in fact been "fully heard" and the court issued a "reasoned opinion." (838 F.2d at p. 327; see also *Reed v. Allen* and *Martin v. Malhoyt, supra.*) The implication in *Luben* that a party who has not yet had the opportunity to pursue his appellate remedy has therefore not "fully and fairly litigated" the issue is not supported by precedent or logic.

Even the *Luben* court recognized that "final," for the purpose of preclusive effect, does not require that a judgment or order be "final" in the sense of appealable, as used in 28 U.S.C. section 1291. The court also acknowledged that under the Restatement, and federal law, a judgment need only be "sufficiently firm" to make the application of estoppel equitable. (707 F.2d at p. 1040.) As

Robi makes clear, the fact that appeal proceedings have not yet been completed does not prevent a finding that the party against whom issue preclusion is sought had a "full and fair opportunity to litigate" the matter; it is obvious that the phrase can properly be interpreted to cover only the opportunity to litigate the matter *at trial*. This being so, it should not be controlling whether an appeal cannot yet be taken, or whether it has been taken and is still pending. In either case, the lower court's judgment must retain its effect.

In this case, we find that the federal court's orders and findings meet the test of the Restatement and the federal authorities, and are "sufficiently firm" to deserve preclusive effect under federal law. The orders and findings were reached after a 37-day jury trial in which 25 witnesses testified and over 300 exhibits were introduced. Before trial in the federal court, even the State recognized that the findings might have preclusive effect in the state action, and itself agreed that both sides had every incentive to fully litigate the matter. While the State, at the time of the hearing, did not have the absolute right to appeal, the State had challenged the jury's findings by motion before the special master, and then sought review of his rulings before the district court. The jury's findings have therefore been confirmed through two additional levels of consideration, and the special master's further findings of negligence have been reviewed by the district court.[11] It cannot be disputed that the State has had a full

---

[11] Under federal law, a jury's findings of fact are entitled to substantial deference, and will be accepted if supported by any valid evidence. (See *Lumbra v. United States* (1934) 290 U.S. 551,

opportunity to litigate the issues at the trial level, and the confirmation and acceptance of the findings by the special master and the district court persuades us that they are "sufficiently firm."

II.

Do Policy Considerations Require that the Findings not be Given Collateral Estoppel Effect in This Case?

A.

Federal law also recognizes that even if the formal prerequisites to the application of collateral estoppel are met, a rigid approach is inappropriate; the courts have discretion to reject the doctrine in any given case. If "the application of offensive estoppel would be unfair to a defendant, a trial judge should not allow the use of offensive collateral estoppel." (*Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore* (1979) 439 U.S. 322, 331; see also *United States v. Kaytso* (9th Cir. 1988) 868 F.2d 1020, 1022.) We next consider whether the trial court abused its discretion in applying the doctrine.[12]

553.) If the federal court, on appeal, chooses to apply California law to any review of the findings, the result will be the same. "In reviewing the evidence on such an appeal all conflicts must be resolved in favor of the respondent, and all legitimate and reasonable inferences indulged in to uphold the verdict if possible." (*Crawford v. Southern Pac. Co.* (1935) 3 Cal.2d 427, 429.) Thus, the likelihood of a reversal on the pure findings of fact is not great.

[12] California law also permits some leeway in applying the doctrine. (See *Sandoval v. Superior Court* (1983) 140 Cal. App.3d 932, 941; cf. *Slater v. Blackwood* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 791, 796.)

We must stress here that we are not called upon to exercise our independent judgment on the question; the function of a reviewing court in this context is solely to determine whether the trial court's decision fell within the limits of discretion, reasonably and judiciously exercised. (*Wong v. Davidian* (1988) 206 Cal.App.3d 264, 269.) We may find an abuse of discretion only if the lower court's ruling "exceeded the bounds of reason." (*Shamblin v. Brattain* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 474, 478.)

First, the State argues that it is inappropriate to decide "21st century environmental tort" issues affecting California and California governmental entities under federal law. Of course, insofar as the issues involve the application and construction of federal statutes, deference to the federal court is entirely appropriate. We must disagree with the State's characterization of the findings of negligence as being unique and precedential; the concept of negligence as a basis for civil liability has an ancient history in the law. Juries and judges in all jurisdictions are regularly required to decide whether certain conduct constitutes negligence in the most technically esoteric fields. We do not believe that the task of applying well-established standards to factual questions concerning the establishment and operation of a toxic dump site is so legally complex and momentous that it must be reserved for a state court.

The State also argues that applying the doctrine of collateral estoppel was incorrect here because one of the primary justifications for the doctrine – that of judicial economy – is not met. The State points out that the federal findings only cover its liability up until the year 1980, and that no allocation of liability has been made;

thus, it argues, a great deal of evidence concerning its alleged negligence is bound to be introduced at trial here even if the findings are given effect, and no substantial time would be saved. The answer to this contention is simply that we respect the trial court's discretion. The trial judge was in the best position to determine whether a significant amount of time would be saved, and we will not disturb this decision. We also observe, however, that the saving of time is not the only reason for applying collateral estoppel, which is also founded on the principles of fundamental fairness and the aim of fixing an end to litigation. (See *Parklane Hosiery, Inc. v. Shore, supra,* 439 U.S. at p. 326.)

B.

The State asserts that the order and findings must not be given preclusive effect because they were wrong, or wrongly decided. The general rule followed in the federal courts is that once a judgment is entitled to res judicata effect, the fact that it is legally or factually erroneous is irrelevant. (*Federated Dept. Stores v. Moitie* (1981) 452 U.S. 394, 398. Even taking into account the principle that collateral estoppel may be rejected if to do so would create a palpable injustice (see *Parklane Hosiery, supra;* see also *Barragan v. Banco BCH* (1986) 188 Cal.App.3d 283, 297), and bearing in mind as well that where the first judgment is not yet finding a more flexible approach may be desirable, we find no error in the trial court's decision to apply the doctrine.

The State's main argument is that the federal court was wrong in permitting the jury to make findings

concerning negligence, because under CERCLA, negligence is irrelevant, and if CERCLA applies, it completely pre-empts state law. Thus, the State reasons, the findings were superfluous and will be negatived on appeal.[13] The general rule, as the State asserts, is that collateral estoppel will not apply unless the issue in question was both actually litigated and *necessary to the decision* in the earlier case. (*In re Latch* (11th Cir. 1987) 820 F.2d 1163, 1166.)[14]

---

[13] This argument was made by the State in a motion for judgment on the pleadings. The district court found it to be frivolous, and awarded sanctions. On appeal from this award, the court of appeals reversed, remarking that the State's construction of the relevant portions of CERCLA was "plausible" and therefore could not support an award of sanctions.

We do not believe the State can take much comfort from this ruling. In our view, "plausible" is a word which invites suspicion. This is borne out by Webster's Third New International Dictionary (1961) page 1736 which gives as a definition of the word "*superficially* worthy of belief." (Emphasis added.)

[14] Although we admit that we have not conducted exhaustive research into the issue, which is not crucial to our decision, we have found no authority discussing the application of this rule to an instance in which an issue is vigorously litigated at trial and a finding is made, but an appellate court later determines that the finding was unnecessary or irrelevant to the controlling legal principles. There is some authority that a California court need not give preclusive effect to a judgment which it determines to have been rendered in the absence of jurisdiction. (See *Strangman v. Duke* (1956) 140 Cal.App.2d 185, 191; the federal rule, however, is somewhat different, at least when determining whether to give effect to a state judgment [see *Marrese v. American Ac. of Orthopaedic Surg.* (1985) 470 U.S. 373, 375].)

We question whether the principles behind the doctrine of preclusion, and its exceptions, would require the result desired by the State. Even if an issue is later determined to be irrelevant

The State cites no authority supporting its construction of CERCLA as entirely pre-emptive, and it would be presumptuous of us to announce that the district court erred. We note that the provisions of CERCLA have been held *not* to prohibit a CERCLA defendant from pursuing state law remedies of indemnity and contribution against a party who is not liable under the federal law. (*United States v. Hooker Chemicals & Plastics Corp.* (W.D.N.Y. 1990) 739 F.Supp. 125.) Furthermore, if State is successful on appeal in arguing that it is not subject to liability under CERCLA at all, then state law claims clearly come into play.[15]

---

to the final resolution of an action, should the factual resolution in the first action not be entitled to preclusive effect as long as that issue was fully litigated? That is, if the parties have the motive and opportunity to vigorously litigate an issue, why should its eventual immateriality deprive a factual finding of effect?

This situation should be compared with that in which an issue was clearly immaterial, and therefore not fully litigated, or in which the court in the first actions made an unnecessary finding, so that the losing party, not realizing that an issue was of purported significance, may not have fully presented its case. (See Rest.2d Judgments, § 27, coms. (c) and (e).)

[15] The State's cavalier assertion that the federal court lost jurisdiction over the state law issues instantaneously when the State's liability under CERCLA was determined is not supported by logic – and certainly not by the authority cited. *DeTomaso v. Pan American World Airways, Inc.* (1987) 43 Cal.3d 517, 520, fn. 1 does no more than state the well-known rule that objections to jurisdiction are never waived. The State's position on this point would apparently mean that the federal court's jurisdiction to make findings depends on the order in which issues are reached, and does not allow for the utility of having findings on multiple theories, if a ruling on one issue is reversed on appeal.

The State also asserts that if it is not held liable under CERCLA, the codefendants will not be able to obtain indemnity under their state law claims because the State will not be "jointly and severally liable" under CERCLA. It is true that a right to equitable indemnity generally depends on the existence of joint and several liability among the tortfeasors. (*Munoz v. Davis* (1983) 141 Cal.App.3d 420, 425.) However, the "key ingredient" in the concept of equitable indemnity is *equity*. (*Munoz v. Davis, supra,* at p. 428.) Even if the defendants in the federal action are found liable solely under CERCLA, and the State is eventually found not liable under that act, we are not persuaded that the defendants would have no equitable remedy. Where two parties are both factually responsible for harm, the circumstance that one tortfeasor is held liable to the plaintiff on a theory legally inapplicable to the other does not always bar the first tortfeasor from seeking indemnity. Thus, in *County of San Mateo v. Berney* (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1489, 1494, defendant County was sued solely on a theory of inverse condemnation. This theory, of course, was not applicable to a private party whose negligent or otherwise tortious acts, according to the County's cross-complaint, contributed to the injury to plaintiffs. Nevertheless, the appellate court found "no logical reason" why the claim for indemnity from the third party should not stand.

In this case, it may be that the defendants will be found liable under CERCLA, and that, on appeal, the finding of State's concurrent liability under that act may be reversed. But if State's negligent acts in fact contributed to the damages claimed in the federal action, and which the defendants are required to pay, we are not

prepared to say that the State may not be the subject of demands for indemnity based on negligence.[16]

Finally, the State makes several additional arguments attacking the legal correctness of the federal court's rulings. It argues that the federal court improperly deprived it of its right to present state law immunity defenses, but we do not find it necessary to assume that a reversal on this issue would vitiate the findings on the preliminary facts of negligence.[17] The State also argues that errors were made with respect to the admission or exclusion of evidence and the application of legal negligence standards; however, in light of the brevity of these arguments, and the paucity of authority cited, we do not feel compelled to presume that the federal appellate court will find reversible error.

In summary, we find that the trial court did not abuse its discretion or commit legal error in determining to apply the doctrine of collateral estoppel as requested by real parties. We have not reached this conclusion lightly, and we are sensible of the serious arguments raised by

---

[16] We also note that the counterclaims also claimed indemnity under statutory theories, and State has not demonstrated that it cannot be jointly liable with the co-defendants under these statutes.

[17] The trial court here has expressly recognized that the immunity defenses remain to be tried here, so that the State has not been permanently deprived of the opportunity to present them. Given the State's insistence that state law issues be decided by state courts, it should be pleased with the chance to argue these issues to a state court.

the State with respect to the prospect of conflicting judgments. However, it is our view that where parallel litigation proceeds in jurisdictionally distinct fora, it is not possible to be confident that injustice will not result from *either* the application or rejection of the doctrine. If the federal order is affirmed, relitigation of the issues in state court would not only be wasteful, but would create the danger of inconsistent results – indeed, in that case the State would only be benefitted if an inconsistent result is reached in the state action, even though the prevention of such results, with the concomitant negative reflection on the justice system, is a primary reason for applying the doctrine. If the federal rulings are reversed, we recognize that the state court proceedings may be thrown into a chaos, the path out of which we will not attempt to describe. Absent a crystal ball, no court faced with the situation in the case at bar can be sure of compelling a just result.

However, we have been persuaded in the end by the essential inequity, as recognized by the trial court, of permitting the State to avoid the results of the federal trial. It is beyond dispute that the issues of the State's negligence were fully and exhaustively litigated. Even if the directed verdict and the judgment notwithstanding the verdict be set aside, the State would still be faced with jury findings of negligence and liability. The State has been energetic and ingenious in presenting reasons in law why it "must" be freed from the consequences of the federal litigation; it has presented no reasons in equity why it *should* be so released.

The petition for writ of mandate is denied. The alternative writ is discharged and the stay of proceedings previously issued by this court is dissolved.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

/s/ McKinster
J.

We concur:

/s/ Dabney
Acting P.J.

/s/ Hollenhorst
J.

APPENDIX B

No. 4/2 E009583 – E010021
S022505

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN BANK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner

v.

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, Respondent
PENNY NEWMAN et al., Real Parties in Interest
and companion case

(Filed July 9, 1992)

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

Panelli, J. and Baxter, J. are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

Lucas, C.J. did not participate.

Arabian
Acting Chief Justice

APPENDIX C

COURT OF APPEAL – STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION TWO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner, v. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, Respondent. PENNY NEWMAN, et al., Real Party in Interest.	E009583 COUNTY NO. 165994 MF (Filed Aug. 8, 1991)

THE COURT:

We are not persuaded that the recent amendments to Code of Civil Procedure section 437c, subdivision (f), deprive the trial court of the discretionary power to make determinations not falling within its scope. The order in the federal action was entitled to preclusive effect. Whether to give it such effect was within the trial court's discretion, and we do not find an abuse of that discretion.

The petition is DENIED.

McKINSTER
Acting P.J.

cc:
Superior Court Clerk, Riverside County, P.O. Box 431, Riverside, CA 92502
and attached mailing list.

APPENDIX D

No. CA 4/2 E009583 – S022505

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN BANK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, Respondent
PENNY NEWMAN et al., Real Parties in Interest

(Filed Sept. 19, 1991)

Petition for review granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandamus, and to issue an alternative writ directing the Superior Court for the County of Riverside to set aside its order of April 25, 1991, granting plaintiff's motion for summary ajudication of issues in Newman et al. v. Stringfellow, Jr., et al., Nos. 165994MF, 167122, 167173, 167621, 167327, 167467, 167691, 168088, 168349, 168591, 168939, 169223, 169610, and 169999, insofar as that order determines the liability of petitioner or show cause before the Court of Appeal why it should not be ordered to do so in light of Stoll v. Gottlieb (1938) 305 U.S. 165, 170, and Producers Dairy Delivery Co. v. Sentry Ins. Co. (1986) 41 Cal.3d 903, 910-911.

The order of this court made on August 23, 1991, staying trial shall remain in effect until the Riverside County Superior Court complies with the alternative writ

of mandate or the final disposition of the petition for writ of mandate, which ever first occurs.

Lucas, C.J. did not participate.

Chief Justice

Mosk
Associate Justice

Panelli
Associate Justice

Kennard
Associate Justice

Arabian
Associate Justice

Baxter
Associate Justice

George
Associate Justice

ORDER GRANTING REVIEW
AFTER JUDGMENT BY THE COURT OF APPEAL
Fourth Appellate District, Division Two,
No. E010021 – S023105
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN BANK

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Petitioner

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
Respondent
J.B. STRINGFELLOW, JR., et al., Real Parties in Interest

(Filed Nov. 4, 1991)

Petition for review GRANTED.

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandamus and to issue an alternative writ directing the Superior Court for the County of Riverside to set aside its order of August 21, 1991, granting the Coordinating Committee's motion for summary adjudication of issues in Newman v Stringfellow, Jr. et al., No. 165994 MF, or show cause before the Court of Appeal why it should not be ordered to do so in light of Stoll v. Gottlieb (1938) 305 U.S. 165, 170, and Producers Dairy Delivery Co. v. Sentry Insurance Co. (1986) 41 Cal.3d 903, 910-911.

The order of this court made on August 23, 1991, staying trial shall remain in effect until the Riverside County Superior Court complies with the alternative writ

of mandate or the final disposition of the petition for writ of mandate, whichever occurs first.

Lucas, C.J. did not participate.

Chief Justice

Panelli
Associate Justice

Kennard
Associate Justice

Arabian
Associate Justice

George
Associate Justice

Baxter
Associate Justice

Associate Justice

APPENDIX E

Abraham, Milton; Abraham, Norma; Acosta, Mauro F.; Acree, Sybl; Aguilar, Henry; Aguilar, Yvonne; Aguilar, Dominic; Aguilar, Adrian; Anderson, Lee; Mick-Anderson, Susan; Andre, Marie; Anzalone, Sherry; Anzalone, Gina; Anzalone, Michelle; Arkenburg, Mark; Arkenburg, Shawn; Armstrong, Paul; Armstrong, Hilary; Arriaga, Jimmy; Arriaga, Pauline; Arriaga, Adrianne; Asplin Sr, William; Asplin, Brenda; Asplin Jr, William; Babinchak, John; Pethel, Betty; Baca, James; Bain, Robert; Bates, Carolyn; Beam, Beverly; Beard, Ronald; Beard, Sandy; Beard, Troy; Beard, Trevor; Bell, William; Bell, Norma; Bennett, Robert; Bennett, Geneine; Bequette, Frank; Betancur, Evelyn; Berg, Donald; Billington, Marcus; Billington, Tracy; Billington, Daniel; Bishop, Chester; Bishop, Gertrude; Robillard, Lawrence; Robillard, Christi; Borrero, Manuel; Borrero, Flotilda; Bowman Sr, Allan; Bowman, Christina M.; Bowman, Kevin; Bowman Jr, Allan; Bowman, Yvette; Bowman, Christina L.; Brannan, Michael K.; Brannan, Priscilla; Brannan, Michael C.; Brannan, Denise; Brannan, Marcy; Bravo, Julio P.; Bravo, Gloria V.; Brown, Euclid; Brown, Alice; Brown, Rosely; Browner, Kent; Browner, Donna; Broyles, Lewis; Broyles, Michael; Broyles, Mary; Bruno, Christine; Bruno, Ernest; Buchanan, Steven L.; Buchanan, Jenifer; Buchanan, Steven C.; Buchanan, Jenifer R.; Bullard, Hugh; Burgess, Harold; Burgess, Collene; Burgess, Collete; Burgess, Sheena; Burgess, Paul; Burton, Darlene; Burton, Ariana; Bussear, William; Bussear, Thelma; Espinosa, Roberto; Espinosa, SanJuana; Espinosa, David; Espinosa, Roberto F.; Bussee, La Vaughn; Bustamante, Emilio; Butler, Rodney; Butterfield, Richard; Cadenhead, Jon; Cadenhead, Roxanne; Campbell, Kimberly; Campbell, Curtis; Carman II, James;

Carranza, Antonio; Cashen, Charles; Cashen, Linda; Cashen, Stacey; Patterson, Craig; Castellanos, Sofia; Cavinder, Lyle; Cavinder, Rose; Chavez, Rene; Chavez, Linda; Chavez, Cynthia; Chavez, Jennifer; Chriss, Florence; Christensen, Gary; Christensen, Margaret; Christensen, Shawn; Coats, Delmar; Colquitt, Paul; Contreras, George; Contreras, Maria; Contreras, Jesse; Contreras, Mary; Cook, Jill; Cope, Craig; Cope, Lonnie; Copeland, Vernon; Copeland, Joan; Cordero, Jairo; Cordero, Lourdes; Couch, Randy; Couch, Sherri; Crain, Terry; Horn, April; Creed, Donna; Cruz, Josue; Curran, Michael; Curran, Mary; Curtis, Delayn; Curtis, Warren; Curtis, Craig; Dahm, Terry; Darrin, Lesa; Butler, Kathy; Butterfield, Bart; Butterfield, Mary; Davenport, David; Davenport, Rita; Davenport, Adam; Davenport, Amy; Davenport, Peter; Davis, William; Davis, Diane; Detterich, Paul; Detterich, Karen; Devor, Ken; Devor, Sharon; Docker, Robert; Duncker, Jim; Runkle, James; Runkle, Lisa; Eckmark, Sharon; Edwards, Larry; Edwards, Janice; Elmore, Karen; Elmore, Lester; Ely Jr, John; Ely, Joy; Erwin, Florence; Escajeda, Enrique; Eves, Richard; Eves, Antionette; Fantocone, James; Fantocone, Margaret; Fionda, Keith; Fionda, Diane; Fionda, Leticia; Fontaine, Robert C.; Fontaine, Helen; Fontaine, Robert A.; Fontaine, John; Fontaine, Mark; Fontaine, Gerald; Fontaine, Thomas; Wagenstein, Patricia; Fritz, Lillian; Fryback, Glendora; Galaz Jr, Joe; Galaz, June; Gallo, Richard; Gallo, Kay; Gandara, Ricardo; Gandara, Phyllis; Santos, Victoria; Elliott, Esther; Stringer Knight, Denise; Gandara, Lisa; Gandara, Richard R.; Garcia, Francisco; Garcia, Janie; Garrett, Frances; Garrett, Lannes; Gayk Sr, Raymond; Gayk, Maureen; Hernandez, Petronilo;

Giannini, Virginia; Gibson, Florence; Feller, Arlene; Gibson, Ray; Gibson, Catherine; Gibson Sr, Ronald; Gil, Larry; Gonzalez, Adolfo; Gonzalez, Consuelo; Gonzalez, James; Goodwin, Gerald; Goodwin, Susan; Grace, Rhonda; Gross, Lavern; Gross, Cathy; Gudin, Maria; Guerin, Robert; Hahn, Alan; Hahn, Renee; Hakomaki, Gary; Hakomaki, Jan; Holliday, Ronald; Hampton, Danny; Hampton, Baudelia; Hampton, Marie; Harp, Addison; Harp, Edith; Harris, Patricia; Hurtado, Rosalio P.; Hartsell Sr, Robert; Hartsell, Joan; Harvey, Edward; Harvey, Sandra; Harvey, Sarah; Harvey, Richard; Hernandez, Esperanza; Langford, Frank; Langford, Dortha; Hoffman, Loraine; Holliday, Calleen; Hollingworth, John; Holt Jr, Delmer; Howard, Owen; Hrouda, Frank; Hrouda, Sebastiana; Inaba, Mitsuru; Jackson Jr, Donald; Jackson, Debbie; Johnson, Carl; Johnson, Jean; Johnson, Iva; Johnson, John; Johnson, Darlene; Johnson Jr, John; Johnson, Erik; Kaney, John; Kehn, John F.; Kehn, Constance; Kendrick, James; Kendrick, Shirley; Knapper, Deborah; Knoop, Cecilia; Knudsen, Verlin; Knudsen, Winifred; Kolb, Edward L.; Hover, Mary; Kolb, Edward M.; Kolb, Tammy; Kolb, Robert; Kolb, Daniel; Kosut, Bert; Kosut, Wilma; Krocker, Charles; Krocker, Carol; Kruger, Madison; Kruger, Lynnea; Kruger, Wink; Kruis, Eldon; Kruis, Sheila; Kruis, Jason; Kruis, Mishonda; LaBonte, Dorothy; Lancaster, Daniel; Lancaster, Patricia; Strong, Rose; Lancaster, Theresa; Lancaster, Michael J.; Lane, William; Lang, Zane; Larkin, Chuck; Larkin, Gail; Larson, Carol; Lawson, Don; Lawson, Lucille; Lee, Rustin; Leslie, Clarence; Levers, Melvin; Levers, Marcella; Levers, Shelley; Levers, James; Levers, Kimberly; Levers, Ruth; Lewenthal, Larry; Lewenthal, Debra; Lewis, Ollie; Leyva,

Jose; Leyva, Elaine; Leyva Jr, Jose; Leyva, Paul; Lovelly, Marguerite; Lopez, Arthur; Lopez, Mary; Lopez, Cathy; Lopez, Christy; Roldan, Ramiro; Lopez, Donna; Lopez, Raymond; Lopez, Josephine; Lopez, Richard; Pokorny, Carol; Lopez, Lisa; Lundvall, Pamela; Pokorny, Nicole; Lowry, Floyd; Lozado, Debbie; Lozado, Denise; Lucier, Claude; Lusk, Norman; Lusk, Linda; Lytle, Raymond; Maclam, James P.; Maclam, Linda; Madetzke, Maurine; Mancinas, Ramon; Martin, Herman; Martin, Mitchell; Martin, Susan; Martin, Gina; Marty, Carl; Marty, Rowena; Mashburn, Frank; Mashburn, Nancy; Mathis, Loren; McCown, Eric; McDermott Jr, Timothy; McDermott, Pamela; McFeely, Karen; McGoogan, William; McGuire Sr, Francis; McGuire, Josita; McGuire Jr, Francis; McGuire, Harold; McIntosh Jr, Robert; McIntosh, Ellen; McIntosh, Robert; McIntosh, Megan; McIntosh, Brian; Williams, Evan; McKay, David; McKay, Robyn; McVeigh, Paul; McVeigh, Cathy; McWilliam Jr, Albert; Medina Sr, Gilbert; Medina, Gloria; Ramirez, Lydia; Meehan, Terri; Meigide, Allen; Meigide, Patricia; Merha, Alan; Merha, Sally; Mestas, Suzanne; Meyers, Patrick; Meyers, Erma; Miller, Clyde; Miller, Mary; Miller, Jaxon; Miller, Leah; Moore, Darryl; Moore, Judith; Moore, Steven; Moore, Bryan; Moore, Helen; Moreno, Fernando; Moreno, Mary; Morgan, Larry; Morgan, Elizabeth; Morton, Dean; Morton, Toshi; Lowe, Belinda; Munoz, Benito; Pennell, Joan; Munoz, Louie; Munoz, Rose; Murphy, John C.; Shackelford, Cindy; Nason, Richard; Nason, Judy; Gable, Cheryl; Neal, James; Neal, Shirley; Gross, Swain; Gross, Briana; Newman, Ella; Newman, Penny; Newman, Jan A.; Newman, Shawn; Nugent, Lonnie; Nugent, Sharon; Oates, Lou; Olin, Brenda; Olson, Judy; Olson, Ross;

Olson, Robert; Olson, Donna; Olson, Travis; Thompson, Toni; Padron, Martha; Parma, Charles; Parma, Diann; Passmore, Melodie; Passmore, Randy; Peramaki, Michael; Peramaki, Sandra; Peters, Gary; Peters, Iris; Pfister, Norman; Pilcher, Dale; Pilcher, Linda; Ponti, Charlotte; Postl, Linda; Prescott, Linda; Provonsha, Barbara; Provonsha, Lynn; Quesada, Manuel; Quesada, Nadina; Quesada, Manuel A.; Quesada, Marcos; Reichard, Eugene; Reichard, Mary; Reid, Bennett; Reid, Winsome; Reid Jr, Bennett; Reid, John; Reid, Brittany; Reinalda Sr, Robert; Richendollar, Craig; Richendollar, Jean; Riley, Richard; Riley, Linda; Rittenhouse, Delbert; Roach, Dorothy; Robinson, Robin; Rodriguez Sr, Javier; Rodrigue, Candace; Rodrigue, Frank; Rodrigue, Wayne; Rodrigue, Lisa; Rodrigue, Steven; Ingram, Dian; Rorer, Beatrice; Ross, Marilyn; Rozycki, Jerzy; Rozycki, Isabelle; Rubalcava, Jesus; Rubalcava, Petra; Rubalcava, Jose; Rubalcava, Martin; Rusinko, Scott; Peterson, Annette; Rusinko, Michael; Rusinko, Richelle; Russell, Virginia; Russell, Carl; Ruth, Kevin; Ruth, Kathy; Sanchez, Ruben; Sanchez, Elizabeth; Sandoval, Anthony; Sandoval, Tony; Eckmark, Tammy; Scarlett II, Donald; Spears, Jamie; Schardt, Ronald; Schardt, Kandace; Schulze, Manfred; Scherer, Richard; Neely Scherer, Esther; Schlemmer, Jerry; Schlemmer, Marilyn; Schmid, Raymond; Schmid, Connie; Schmittroth, John; Schulze, Catherine; Seeley, Denise; Seeley, Jim; Seeley, Keith; Seeley, Crystal; Seymour, Berniece; Seymour, Daniel; Seymour, Carmen; Seymour, Edwin; Sharp, Ruth; Sharp, Al (Floyd); Shelton, Lorna; Shipley, Gary; Shipley, Enza; Shipley, Brad; Berghorst, Jeremy; Shipley, Tammi; Shuman, Paul; Simas, Daniel; Simas, Nancy; Smith, Barbara; Smith, John P.; Haney,

Nancy; Smith, Scott; Smith, Cynthia; Smith, Nancy; Smith, Edward A.; Smith, Matthew; Smith, Mark J.; Smith, Luke; Smith, Richard J.; Smith, Mary; Smith Jr, Stanley; Smith, Christine; Snider, Mary; Spence, Michael; Spence, Charla; Spencer, Lawrence; Spinney, Linda; Spencer, Connie; Stark, Lonnie; Stark, Joann; Stark, Crystal; Stark, Jacob; Stouvenel, Kathleen; Stouvenel, Michael; Stouvenel, Thor; Stouvenel, Storm; Stouvenel, Trent; Stouvenel, Jana; Stouvenel, Ryan; Stouwie, Renda; Strain, Paul; Stuvick, Bernard; Grech, Sylvia; Summers, Gary; Summers, Debra; Surville, Louis; Surville, Lillian; Tarris, Kathryn; Taylor, Donald; Taylor, Virginia; Thomas, Steven; Thomas, Frances; Thomas, Edward; Thomas, Anthony; Thornton, John; Thornton, Rebecca; Todd, Dorothy; Todd, Harvey; Todd, John; Todd, Cynthia; Tonnessen, Walter; Tonnessen, Grace; Tovar, Edward; Townsley, Carol; Treiber, Kenneth; Treiber, Doris; Uranich, Gary; Valadez, Marie; Valencia, Francisco; Valencia, Ernestina; VanBibber, Harold; VanBibber, Diana; VanDyke, Dolly; Newman, Tina; VanHooser, Vance; VanLaar, James; VanLaar, Arlene; VanLaar, Vincent; VanLaar, Jamie; VanNatta, Robert; VanNatta, Hazel; James, Conway; Vasquez, Victoria; Vaughn, William; Vaughn, Deborah; Veatch, Inez; Velasquez Sr, Jerry; Velasquez, Theresa; Velasquez, Jacob; Velasquez, Jerry; Vickery, Alice; Vidales, David; Walker, Robert; Walker, Diann; Wansa, Ronald; Wansa, Paula; Wansa, Kellie; Wansa, Kristie; Waters, Robert; Waters, Valeria; Watson, Richard; Welty, Joyce; Whitaker, Mark; Wier, Ethel; Williams, Gary; Williams, Kathy; Williams, Robert; Williams, Shelba; Williams, Stacey; Reno, Maria; Wilson, Barbara; Wohlgemuth, Richard; Wood, William; Woodard,

Jack; Wright, Roger; Young, Charles; Young, Kathleen; Zazzaro, Gaetano; Zimmerman, Glen; Zimmerman, Bernita; Zimmermann II, Roy; Zimmermann, Janet; Zylstra, Karen; Gandara, Arthur; Gandara, Shizuko; Offenbach, Jean; Gandara, Raymond; West, Josephine; Gandara, Joe; Gandara, Richard G.; Gandara, James; Stockman, Mary; Howard, Mark; McGrath, Wendy; Ruth, Brooke; Schulze, Heather; Schulze, Steve; Schulze, Wendy; Schulze, Jeffrey; Hampton, Chad; Hampton, Jaime; Roberts, Esmond; Riley, Rhonda; Riley, Brannon; VanBibber, Ernest; VanBibber, Mark; Garcia, Teresa; Muniz, Katherine; Garcia, Regina; Walker, Steven; Walker, Laura; McVeigh, Daniel; Reyes, Monica; Hernandez, Charles; Inaba, Meiko; Inaba, Douglas; Inaba, Laurie; Seymour, Tania; McDermott, Timothy; McDermott, Jennifer; Rorer, Casper; Munoz, Louie Jr; Munoz, Gloria; Barron, Christine; Lancaster, Michael T.; Lancaster, Tieg; Cooper, Tracy; Cooper, Pauline; Marty, Michelle; Gonzalez, Dorothy; Wilcox, Shawna; Prescott, Paulette; Prescott, Melissa; McWilliam, Patricia; Gammon, Mary; McWilliam Sr, Albert; McWilliam, Brandon; Smith, Richard; Smith, Roger A.; Betancur, Arthur; Betancur Jr, Arthur; Betancur, Alexander; Gil, Jenny; Gil, Isaac; Gil, Genevieve; Gil, Stephanie; Tarris, Jeffrey; Tarris, John; Russell, Kathryn; Rust, Dorothea; Desousa, Laura; Andre, Pete; Adame, Pauline; Bain Jr, Robert; Bain, Richard; Gonzalez, Carol; Gonzalez, John; Gonzalez, Renee; Meehan, Gregory; Davenport, Tracy; Meehan, Paul; Labonte, Anne; Labonte, Jeanne; Labonte, Catherine; Labonte, David Jr; Labonte, Danielle; Christensen, Terry; Day, Kathlyn; Dennis, Carolyn; Todd, Steven; Todd, Stanley; Spencer, Larry; Spencer, Michelle; Hernandez,

Connie; Hernandez, Johnny; Hernandez, Lucille; Watson, Gina; Watson, Natalie; Lopez, Billy; Robinson, Sarah; Robinson, John II; Williams, Gary Jr; Tatosian, Susan; Leslie, Roxanne; Leslie, Jeffery; Leslie, Jassen; Leslie, Brian; Russell, Mark; Russell, John; Russell, Robert; King, Tracey; Lowry, Thelma; Rodriguez, Martha; Rodriguez, Victor; Rodriguez, Carmen; Docker, Angela; Cramer, Elaine; Bell, Walter; Bell, Patrick; Bell, Brian; Welty, George; Welty, Deborah; Welty, Robert; Welty, Marian; Zehner, Robert; Mestas, Michael; Mestas, Michael Jr; Mestas, Jillian; Mestas, Steven; Mestas, Michelle; Ponzini, Timothy; Ponzini, Melinda; Morse, Heather A.; Ponzini, Lindsey; Thronson, Larry Jr; Thronson, Steven; Thronson, Erica; Mrvichin, Draza; Mrvichin, Barbara; Mrvichin, Vladimir; Peters, Danny; Peters, Michelle; Edwards, Patricia; Gigandet, Claudia; Edwards, William; Hernandez, Jeremy; Siens, Ruthie; Siens, Matt; Siens, Aaron; Smith, Steven; Arellano, Melinda; Tobar, Denise; Smith, Stanley; Smith, Craig; Hanson, Erik; Hanson, Luther; Lopez, Rosaly; Pfister, Robert; Braley, Sandra; Copeland, Michael; Copeland, Kerry; Copeland, Lyle; Myers, Steve; Tonnessen, Tyson; Tonnessen, Terry; Tonnessen, Lisa; Hampton, Calvin; Hampton, Garry; Hampton, Henry; Hampton, Wayne; Kellogg, Sandra; McFeely, Michael; McFeely, Erin; Carlson, Duane; Mercer, Paula; Carlson, Dustin; Snider, Bernard; Shearer, Terry; Snider, Dennis; Pilcher, Brian; Pilcher, Bradley; Pilcher, Jennifer; Martin, D'Jean; Lusk, Michelle; Lusk, Christopher; Lusk, Catherine; Lusk, Mary; Eckmark, Charles; Cadenhead, Joshua; Cadenhead, Jennifer; Carpentier, Cecelia; Carpentier, John; Steingone, Cynders; Spencer, Vienna; Carpentier, Autumn; Carpentier,

Shannon; Brooks, September; Brooks, Matthew; Carpentier, Gideon; Stouwie, Albert; Stouwie, Albert Jr.; Stouwie, Daniel; Stouwie, Melissa; Pigott, Michele; Schardt, Debra; Schardt, Carole; Cazessus, Manuel; Sirevaag, Roberta; Sirevaag, Marc; Davis, Zeberiah; Davis, Juddadiah; Barth, Richard; Barth, Cheryl; Barth, Robin; Barth, Matthew; Rogers, William; Rogers, Doretta; Rogers, Senita; Rogers, Kestrel; Muehlig, Kay; Muehlig, Casey; Muehlig, Cale; McGoogan, Mary; McGoogan, Steven; McGoogan, Jeffrey; McGoogan, Craig; Cruz, Joshua; Cruz, Christopher; Cruz, Cynthia; Bullard, Wesley; Bullard, Robert; Noe, Tonia; Vaughn, Rita; Zimmerman, Laura; Diederich, Janis; Zimmerman, Glen; Decker, Victor; Decker, Twila; Gandara, Corina; Rodriguez, Veronica; Rodriguez, Javier Jr; Alberts, Sheri; Chriss, Susan; Darrin, Kaleb; Darrin, Zeke; Larson, Jason; Larson, Travis; Labbe, Enedina; Gonzalez, Rene; Sanchez, Lisa; Sanchez, Monique; Keele, Jessica; Curran, Ginger; Sandoval, Arleen; Sandoval, Vanessa; Carlson, Walter; Carlson, Florence; Carlson, David; Shearer, Dorothy; Shearer, Donald W.; Snyder, Steven; Snyder, Pamela; Snyder, Sandra; Goodwin, Tony; Goodwin, Randy; Hakomaki, Stacey; Hakomaki, Christopher; Thornton, Jody; Thornton, Jason; Peramaki, Reina; Peramaki, Tawney; Greene, Barbara; Corona, Kymberly; Gibson, Kevin; Gibson, Gail; Gibson, Sarah; Gibson, Alicia; Gibson, Neil; Mays, Alana; Merha, Alan Jr; Merha, Annette; Walter, Angela; Orian, Victoria; Darner Jr, Richard; Darner Sr, Richard; Newman, Raymond; Newman Sr, Ivan; Newman, Duane; Newman, Michael; Snider, Judy; Snider, Brent; Snider, Tiffany; Provonsha, Christopher; Provonsha, Teresa; Mason, Evelyn; Mason,

Jennifer; Almaraz, Anthony; Woodard, Susan; Woodard II, Jack; Woodard, Jeffrey; Nason, Bret; Galvan, Edward; Smith, Brian S.; Smith, Mark B.; Herndon, Oscar E.; Herndon, Vera J.; Herndon, Ray; Herndon, Ronnie; Herndon, Richie; Herndon, June; Herndon, Vera L.; Brooks, Sherry; Herndon, Karen; Herndon, Oscar R.; Baca, Charitta; Baca, Mark; Grech, Giuseppe; Grech, John; Grech, Paul; Cervantes, Elisa; Contreras, Alfred; Munoz, Susan; Cates, Paula; Brown, Melody; Brown, Jimmie; Andrews, Honi; Brown, Jimmie II; Brown, Wayne; Brown, Wyndee; Brown, Trini; Townsley, Joseph; Townsley, James Jr; Townsley, Jolene; Holcomb, Wanona; Holcomb, Jerry Sr; Holcomb, Jerry Jr; Holcomb, Tamara; Trust, Charlotte; Trust, Don; Trust, Kenneth; Trust, Jerry; Schlect, Gregory; Schlect, Debra; Sunrise, Vicki; Schlect, Stephanie; Schlect, Sara; Schlect, Keri; Meade, Peggy; Meade, Shawn; Meade, Kevin; Meade, Raemie; Marlatt, John Sr; Marlatt, Doras; Marlatt, John Jr; Simpson, Cathlenna; Marlatt, Frank; Ellis, Sandra; Scarbrough, Frances; Scarbrough, Jesse; Thompson, Cynthia; Thompson, James; Young, Tina; Heggie, Renee; Manzano, Antonio; Nanzano, Angelina; Eckebrecht, Eleanor; Manzano, Jose Sr; Manzano, Maria; Manzano, Frankie; Horn, John Jr; Horn, Ramona; Horn, John Sr; Horn, Donald; Horn, Steven; Horn, Cynthia; Rosa, Leroy Jr; Rosa, Janet; Rosa, Jesse; Blauert, Carl; Blauert, Thelma; Schulte, Susan; Miles, Laura; Vicuna, Sylvia; Allison, Wilmer; Allison, Marguerite; Manettas, Carol; Sirevaag, Keith; Cramins, Penny; Cramins, Richard; Cramins, Tatia; Alexander, John; Alexander, Connie; Rogers, Jacquie; Rogers, Tony; Alexander, Mike; Alexander, Randy; Alexander, Adam; Rogers, Anthony; Mahoney, James; Mahoney, Margaret; Nugent, Derek;

Nugent, Brandon; Nugent, Alicia; Nugent, Jared; Barhite, Ethel; Scrivener, Marie; Maple, Beth; Maple, James; Maple, Rachelle; Maple, Lynn; Maple, Donna; Reischman, Betty; Lopez, Raul; Shirkey, Wanda; Blankenship, Sandra; Closson, Richard; Meigide, Lorna; Meigide, Candice; Reyes, Leonard; Miller, James; Miller, Julie; Miller, Robert; Miller, Paul; Otter, Russel; Cordova, Ignacia; Cordova, Manuella; Sarenana, Natalie; Cordova, Anthony; Cordova, Rebecca; Sarenana, Michael; Sarbeck, Roy; Sarbeck, Linda; Sarbeck, Katrina; Rodriguez, Laura; Rodriguez, Fidel; Rodriguez, Fidel L.; Rodriguez, Laura M.; Hough, Gordon; Hough, Alva; Barnhart, Leo Amos; Barnhart, Nancy; Barnhart, Leo Arthur; Sirevaag, Trudy; Sirevaag, Matthew; Hotte, June; Sirevaag, Pamela; Guerrero, Joe; Boston, Russell; Boston, Florence; Ponti, Samuel; Ponti, Mike; Ponti, Robert; Ponti, Daniel; Ponti, Joseph; Melton, Candith; Kehn, John N.; Kehn, Brent; Zylstra, Edward; Pollock, Brianna; Pollock-Mount, Lonna; Kelley, Clyde; French, Barbara; Escajeda, Beatrice; Escajeda, Jacqueline; Escajeda, Geraldine; Escajeda, Michael; Escajeda, Kenneth; Hicks, Deborah; Chenelle, Paulette; Hicks, Randy; Hicks, Michael; Hicks, Kathleen; Hicks, Tom; Abraham, Joyce; Kersey, Lisa; Zimmermann III, Roy; Zimmermann, Kari; Zimmermann, Ryan; Vaccaro, Yvonne; Reagle, Dennis; Reagle, Sherry; Reagle, Dennis Jr; Reagle, Amanda; Carrigan, Kathy; Carrigan, Therman; Sample, Curtis; Sample, Kevin; Hughes, James III; Hughes, Mary; Hughes, James IV; Hughes, William; Hughes, Clara; Hurtado, Della; Hurtado, Rosalio R.; Zamora, Rosella; Hernandez, Evelyn; Almaguer, Ernest; Almaguer, Josie; Almaguer, Sonya; Almaguer, Alicia; Almaguer, Christina; Almaguer, Victor; Carrasco, Linda;

Gomes, Lorraine; Gibson, Kenneth; Harrell, Janet; Johnston, Carrol; Johnston, Sandra; Gaw, Lee Jr; Beeson, Valerie; Gaw, Cory; Jobe, James; Miller, Ellsworth; Miller, Viola; Miller, Ellsworth Jr; Murphy, Marylyn; Murphy, Michael; McCarter, James; Ojeda, Geraldine; Paradise, Lorraine; Almaguer, Mary L.; Reed, Kim; Perry, Patricia; Tatum, Garrick; Fionda, Frank; Fionda, Myrna; Fionda, Lucinda; Carrasco, Reuben; Hill, Lorraine; Phelps, Cathleen; Harrell, Dennis; Harrell, Michael; Olin, Veronica; Paradise, Leonard; Tolbert, David; Sandoval, Jennie; Sandoval, Phillip; Sandoval, Reuben; Sandoval, Alexander; Taylor, Rick; Lowery, William; Lowery, Beulah; Contreras, Cynthia; Contreras, Debra; Contreras Jr, George; Mullette, Edward Jr; Newberry, Kyle; Taylor, Ravon; Runkle, Amy; Wright, Marion; Wright, James; Wright, Margo; Wright, John; Hicks, Gary L.; Hicks, Sandra; Hicks, Gary T.; Hicks, Bonnie; Martinez, Rodrigo; Martinez, Catherine; Martinez, Arthur; Martinez, Sylvia; Rankin, Gloria; Thornton, Sheila; Hedgpeth, Dannie; King, Dorothy; Bates, Jimmy; Bahling, Juanita; Sundeen, Kellie; Harris, Richard; Harris, Kimberlie; Zumaya, Alberto; Zumaya, Veronica; Bafford, John; Bafford, Connie; Bafford, Christopher; Bafford, Traci; Westrope, Earl; Russell, Lori; Murrill, Mesha; TAC Development Corporation; Muehlig, Karter; McCarter, Gloria; McCarter, Layla; Nelson, Norma; Nelson, Fred; Floyd, Thomas; Floyd, William; Floyd, James; Floyd, Kenneth; Robinson, Keith; Newman, Jan E.; Neely, David; Neely, Tamara; Edgerson, Rosetta; Tatum, Valerie; Jackson, Tyrell; Reed, Tanisha; Fionda, Jason; Galaz, Cory; Staggs, Kelby; Hollingworth, Earlene; Hunter, Virginia; Ruane III, Frank; VanHooser, Lorene; Ponti, Nena; West, Gary; West,

Michael; West, Susan; Lewenthal, Jonathan; Lewenthal, David; Oates, Irene; Kennedy, Wilson III; Oates, Lou II; Masterfield, Ronald; Materfield, Jacquelyn; Smith, Larry; Smith, Sarah; Smith, Erica; Smith, Stephanie; Coats, Doris; Cunningham, Khristine; Benway, Dana; Smith, Carolyn; Nimmo, Michelle; Smith, Christopher; McCarty, James; Wray, Elijah; Millerd, Mary; Abraham, Gary; Baca, Cynthia; Bain, Carol; Bequette, Florine; Blair Jr, Lawrence; Borrero, Carlos; Bowman, Mary; Bowman, James; Burton, William; Butterfield, Jed; Butterfield, Rand; Butterfield Jr, Richard; Castellanos, Regina; Castellanos, Cecilia; Wynn, Alice; Contreras, Arthur; Thornton, Kimberly; Kosut, Gregory; Labonte, Dave; Thronson, Larry; Cramer, Sylvan; Cruz, Flora; Garrett, Willie; Lawson, Veronica; Harbour, Laurie; Dragoman, Phillip; Guerin, Betty; Guerin, Michael; Guerin, Patty; Guillen, Jose; Guillen, Lilia; Guillen, Luis; Guillen, Pilar; Guillen, Sara; Guillen, Vicente; Harbour, Tommy; Warren, Talina; Lane, Tamara; Lane, Scott; Lundy, Clarence; Madetzke, Raymond; Muirhead, John; Postl, James; Postl, Jason; Riddle, Charles; Riddle, Victoria; Ross, Randy; Ross, Sandy; Spies, Annette; Wilder, Jack; Wilder, II, Jack A.; Cooper, Jeannette; Stevens, Sunshine; Rhoads, Judy; Watkins, Julie; Chappell, Elizabeth; Granback, Ted; Granback, Vickie; Granback, Christin; Granback, Amy; Carlson, Dean; Peterson, Lisa; Carlson, Jeanette; Anderson Young, Marie G.; Garringer, Patricia; Weir, Nicole; Wilson, Gilbert; Wilson, Elizabeth; Wright Jr, James; Wright, Jack; Keigley, Tracy; Walker, Juliann; Campuzano, Dolores; Villines, Lawrence; Villines, Nicholas; Vasquez, Tammi; Vasquez, Todd; Hamilton, Judy; Tarris, Jennifer; Spencer, Indiana; Sherman, Wayne; Strong, James; Salinas Jr, Merced;

Salinas, Esperanza; Salinas, Mercy; Salinas, Jasper; Stewart, Elizabeth; Stewart, William; Stewart, Frank; Stewart, Eileen; Rubio, Rosalie; Cervantes, Salvador; Robinson, Joseph; Neal, Curtis; Neal, Dennis; Morrow, Susan; Baxter, Ryan; Mathews, James; McMains, Billy; McMains, Christina; McMains, Jerrod; McMains, Jason; McMains, Jake; Munson, Claire; Munson, Earl; Carr, Leslie; Coble, Diana; Coble, William; Miller, Jack; Friday, Lawrence; Friday, Karen; Friday, David; Friday, Renee; Robinson, Sheila; Conklin, Oscar; Chopp, Charles; Chopp, Charles II; Bloom, Shirley; Bloom, William; Bloom, Jennifer; Bloom, Jamie; Bloom, Billy; Blair, Donna; Blair, Jessica; Blair, Jonelle; Blair, Jane; Almaguer, Mary H.; Miles, Mark; Manning, Emma; Lopez, Vincent; Lopez, Frances; Keigley, Marilyn; Keigley, Michael, Kendrick, Susan; Kendrick, Christopher; Kopping, William; Holland, Michael; Houston, Colleen; Houston, Harold; Hoyt, Vincent; Hoyt, Joanne; Hoyt, Tony; Hoyt, Michael; Canter, Kathleen; Arcet, Belinda; Arcet, Michael; Bos, Merlin; Bos, Christine; Bos, Monty; Buie, Richard; Bos, Tamara; Bos, Aaron; Bos, Gregory; Barnes, Robert; Campbell, Brandon; Campbell, Rhonda; Couts, Delmore; Decasas, Christina; Contreras, Richard K.; Contreras, Richard S.; Deanda, Jesse; Eason, Vernon; Eason, Eva; Edwards, Debora; Edwards, Thomas; Edwards, Thomas Jr.; Edwards, Tera; Elliott, Amanda; Elliott, Baldwin; Garcia, Anita; Garcia, Rudy Jr.; Golden, Charles; Golden, Lisa; Golden, Charles Jr.; Golden, Theresa; Holland, Betty; Holland, Debroa; Holland, John; Holland, Noble; Jolley, Dewayne; Quesada, Linda; Jolley, Yuri; Deanda, Jody; McNeal, Katherine; Rose, Teresa; Kukol, Travis; Lopez, Maria; Lopez, Luis; Milne, Barbara; Neville, Norman;

Nittel, Diane; Nittel, Tiffany; Palko, Edward Jr.; Rodriguez, Anna; Samis, Gerald; Samis, Shirley; Schlitz, Eric; Schlitz, Johanna; Scollo, John; Scollo, Roberta; Taglavore, Cheryl; Scollo Jr., John; Scollo, Michele; Stillwagon, Christine; Stillwagon, Julie; Stillwagon, William C.; Stillwagon, William H.; Trigg, Afton; Trigg, Helen; Turner, Chester; Turner, Gary; Turner, Pamela; Turner, Colleen; Augustine, Shelley; Vickery, Lisa; White, Ethel; White, Howard; White, James; White, Dennis Sr; White, Douglas; White, Allen; Asher Sr, David; Asher, Dorwyn; Asher, Dolores; Asher, Daniel; Buckner, Randle; Buckner, Sherrill; Bunn, Mildred; Burton, Leah; Bussear, William II; Cadle, Wilbur; Cadenhead, Mary; Cadenhead, Phillip; Cochran, Stephen; Devor, Donald; Devor, Judy; Dunmore, Thomas; Dunmore, Barbara; Dunmore, Jennifer; Colburn, Stacy; Glenn, Joyce; Glenn, William; Glenn, Andrew; Gorder, Gertrude; Gorder, Roy; Gorder, Larry; Gorder, Michael; Goudy, Vernon; Goudy, Rita; Haber, Rafael Jr; Haber, Rafael; Haber, Maria; Whittaker, Yamile; Haber, Eddie; Hall, Donald; Hall, Sheila; Holding, Kenneth M.; Holding, Diana; Holding, Kenneth W.; Holding, Kimberly; Holding, Kevin; Holt, Carroll; Holt, Karen; Holt, Valarie; Holt, Arthur; Jones, Lisa Renee; Keller, Hope; Kendrick, Richard; Kendrick, Steven; Kendrick, Laura; Kukol, Thomas Jr; Lafon, Ernest; Lafon, Verna; Lancaster, Johnnie; Mayall, Barbara; Mayall, Charles; Mayall, Charles Jr; Jackson, Katherine; Mello, Penny; Maupin, Kim; Miller, Sharon; Martin, Gregory; Braun Martin, Maxine; Martin, Andrea; Martin, Slade; Martin, Cole; Mashburn, Jeffrey; Mashburn, Mark; Mendoza, Elizabeth B.; Mendoza, Louie; Newman, Jesse; Newman, Jeremy; Whitney, Lynette; Betz, Lillian;

Buckner, Patricia; Buckner, Randle II; Hensley, Dona; Hensley, Jody; Hensley, Larry; Hensley, Robert; Horn, Becky; Hurley, Joshua; Hurley, Mary; Hurley, Rodney; Jones, Lawrence D.; Kanouse, Julie; Keith, Janet; Kulakowski, Edward; Kulakowski, Laura; Kulakowski, Michael; Beezley, Nancy; Matzenger, Susan; Lanier, Bruck; Lanier, Ranae; Lanier, Rochelle; McConnell, John; McDaniel, James Sr; McDaniel, James Jr; McDaniel, Frances; McDaniel, Janetta; Walker, Marjorie; Mendoza, Nancy; Montgomery, John; Montgomery, Jon; Montgomery, Judy; Montgomery, Melanie; Neal, Deborah; Neal, Matthew; Olivas, Pamela; Olivas, Steve; Optebeke, Alan; Optebeke, Dean; Optebeke, Laurie; Osborne, Brian; Osborne, Christina; Osborne, Gloria; Pacheco, Buddy; Pacheco, Carmen; Pacheco, Louis Jr; Parker, James; Parker, Vikki; Popejoy, John; Popejoy, Kenneth; Popejoy, Phillip; Rowe, Rhonda; Popejoy, Ross; Popejoy, Wanda; Porter, Dale; Porter, Lynne; Prado, Christina; Price, James; Price, Rosemarie; Reed, Alfred; Reed, Ray; Reed, Vaughn; Rethaford, Brad; Rethaford, Dorothy; Rethaford, Howard; Saterfield, Sherrie; Cook, Tami; Willis, Cynthia; Rogers, Frank III; Rogers, Jennifer; Rogers, Patricia; Bishop, Dawn; Bishop, Kameron; Brown II, Marquis; Casey, David; Youree, Michelle; Clements, Deborah, Colquitt, Jon; Colquitt, Linda; Colquitt, Phillip; Colquitt, Suzanne; Millard, Jennifer; Daugherty, Curtis; Daugherty, Gabriele; Dobyns, Frank; Dooley, Mark; Dooley, Michael; Dooley, Patricia; Eberlein, Cathy; Eberlein, Christy; Eberlein, Heather; Eberlein, Heidi; Eberlein, Larry; Eberlein, Ronald; Elliott, James; Elliott, Pamela; Elliott, Satina; Potter, Jamie; Fleming, Freddie; Fleming, Jimmy; Fleming, Shaniece; Fox, Michael J.; Fox,

Richard; Fox, Sandra; Fox, Stephan; Garringer, Frances; Garringer, Herbert; Herlikofer, Margarete; Carbajal, Marion; Herlikofer, Robert; Holstun, Courtney; Holstun, Debra; Holstun, Justin; Holstun, Robert; Jackson, Wardell; Key, James; Key, Jeanell; Key, Jonathan; Key, Rhonda; Key, Roger; Kjorvestad, Aleina; Kjorvestad, Amanda; Kjorvestad, Christopher; Kjorvestad, David; Kjorvestad, Deborah; Kjorvestad, Joshua; Kjorvestad, Wayne; Larochelle, Arlene; Larochelle, Marie; Larochelle, Richard; Larochelle, Richard Jr; Nicoll, Brian; Nicoll, Traci; Ortiz, Vicky; Reed, John; Anderson, Corinne; Reed, Sarah; Shearer, Bradley; Shearer, Brian; Shearer, John; Singh, Harbans; Singh, Shangara; Singh, Surinder; Srack, Benjamin; Shamblin, Donna; Kano, Janet; Srack, Icel; Staresinic, Anthony; Staresinic, Kirk; Stoye, Mollie; Stoye, Pamela; Stoye, Rodney E.; Stoye, Rodney S.; Strona, Patrick; Strona, Rosemary; Swauger, Tammy; Treadway, John; Vinson, William M.; White, Charles; White, Daniel; White, Dennis Jr; White, Sarah; Wildman, Mary; Woods, Jason; Woods, Randall; Woods, Susan; Acosta, Guadalupe; Acosta, Maurice; Allen, Brianna; Allen, Shane; Allen, Steven; Anderson, Alvin; Anderson, Chad; Anderson, Christena; Rowe, Deanna; Anderson, Jon; Diaz, Sheryl; Asher, Jeffrey; Asher, Kathleen; Asher, Kristine; Baird, Crystal; Baird, Kathy; Baird, Troy; Baird, William; Benson, Cory; Benson, Frank; Bernard, Jenny; Velehradsky, Mary; Born Eyre, Christina; Born, Leroy; Brace, Erik; Brace, Mark; Brace, Pamela; Brace, Ryan; Brown, Bobby; Brown, Jean; Brown, Mark; Brown, Pamela; Balleza, Sheila; Burchfield, Larry; Burchfield, Misty; Burchfield, Shannon; Burchfield, Susan; Burchfield, Wendy; Cain, Rhonda; Carr, Christopher;

Carr, Douglas; Carr, Jennifer; Carroll, Helen; Carroll, Londa; Carroll, Melvin; Catanese Jr, Frank; Chappell, Charles; Guerrero, Kasey; Clegg, Brandi; Clegg, Kathy; Clegg, Krista; Clegg, George; Corbett, Jonathon; Corbett, John; Corbett, Karla, Corkins, James; Cox, Brenda; Cunningham, Colleen; Devol, Billie; Devol, Lance; Donovan, Edward; Donovan, Gary; Donovan, Michael; Doucette, James; Doucette, Jeanne; Dumond, Helen; Edwards, Spring; Ethridge, Alan; Ethridge, Nora; Ethridge, Aron; Ethridge, Lori; Ethridge, Natalie; Ehrlich, Sheila; Fantocone, George; Flagtwet, David; Flagtwet, Julie Smith; Flewelling, Lydia; Flory, Fredrick; Forrestal, Faith; Forrestal, Kevin; Forrestal, Lydia; Frazier, William; Fullerton, Patsy; Fullerton, Toni; Gale, Dawn; Gale, Dustin; Garcia, Robert; Garringer, Allen; Garringer, Dennis; Garringer, Melvin; Giffin, Lydia; Green, Archie L.; Green, Archie J.; Green, Christopher; Green, Teresa; Green, William; Guziejka, Jeri; Guziejka, Jessica; Guziejka, Joseph C.; Guziejka, Joseph K.; Haag, Danny; Holderby, Brandy; Holderby, Christy; Holderby, William; Holliday, Randall; Human, Charles; Human III, Charles; Human, Rawland; Huyer, Tracey; Jaeger, Alan; Jaeger, Brent; Jaeger, Kim; Jaeger, Paul; Jaeger, Tony; Jackson Jr, William; Jones, Ethel; Kanouse, Steven; Keenan, Jessie; Keenan, Michelle; King, Wilda; Lancaster, John; Larson, David W.; Larson, David G.; Larson, Lura; Larson, Monica; Loggia, Philip; Loggia, Mary; Saran, Linda; Martinez, Adam; Martinez, Felix; Martinez, Mark; Smith, Pattie; Matthews, Donald; Mathis, Micheline; Mathis, Michael; Moody, Otila; Powell, Charles; Pattison, Vanessa; Qualls, Colette; Rentfro, Kristopher; Rentfro, Kurtis; Reyes, Brenda; Ruiz, David; Ruiz, Mary; Ruiz,

George; Ruiz, Phillip; Ruiz, Michael; Ruiz, Laura; Rowe, Jeffrey; Salce, Manuel; Salce Jr, Manuel; Salce, Mary; Salce, Matthew; Silva, Cindy; Silva Sr, John; Silva Jr, John; Silva, Michael; Silva, Jeffrey; Singh, Kartar; Smith, Ashlee; Smith, Dawn; Smith, Jade; Smith, Jeffrey J.; Smith, Terry; Smith, Walter; Schafer, Emil; Schafer, Sylvia; Saterfield, Melissa; Saterfield, Jenni; Saran, David; Saran, Tiffany; Saran, Jessica; Elstner, Juliette; Taylor, Maude; Todd, William; Todd, Merle; Todd, Dixie; Tingle, Joan; Trust, Donna; Trust, Jason; Trust, Tammy; Vance, Marrissa; Velazquez, Armando; Vernon, Linda; Vernon, Troy; Verduzco, Lori; Verduzco, Jose; Verduzco Jr, Jose; Walker, Rock; Webber, Geraldine; Weinhart, John; Davenport, Deana; Carter, Sylvia; Wilborn, Tina; Woodmancy, James; York, Cheryle; Ayala, Annette; Bazurto, Gerald; Bazurto, Mistie; Bode, Zoeann; Bean, Lynnea; Ballew, Bryan; Diephof, Amber Y.; Coffman, Crystal; Crites, John B.; Crites, Jennie; Crites, John E.; Stone, Stacey; Catanese, Georgia; Catanese, Edmond; Converse, Tommy; Campbell, Wayne; Campbell, Frank; Cook, Bobbie; Cook, Joyce; Cook, Jeanie; Cook, Patricia; Cook, Bruce; Burton Cook, Eric; Cook, Robert; Duffy, Mark; Duffy, Kwang; Duffy, Bryen; Duffy, Noreen; Duffy, Michelle, Diephof, Harry; Diephof, Kristi; Diephof, Corri; Diephof, Amber Y.; Dicey, William A.; Dicey, Karen; Dicey, Brandis; Dicey, William F.; Dennis, Richard; Dennis, Susan; Dejong, Randall; Dejong, Jane; Dejong, Amy; English, Debbie; English, Julienne; Estrada, Rosemarie; Estrada, Thomas; Evans Jr, Jimmy; Evans, Michele; Evans, Tamitha; Evans, Leslie; Evans, Michael; Eves, Richard C.; Reed Eves, Lucinda; Eves, Candace; Gaines, Mary; Gaines, Willie; Gaines, Wykami; Rachal,

Charles; Gaines, Wytina; Garvin, Thomas; Garvin, Lucille; Gates, Floyd; Gates, Thelma; Gates, Karen; Gates, Tamara; Gates, Allen; Goodermuth, Nancy; Goodermuth, Ronald; Goodermuth, Kenneth; Clark, Cheryl; Garcia, Pauline; Ayon, Richard; Gibson Jr, Ronald; Gibson, Christopher; Gibson, Jessica; Heflin, John; Heflin, Sandra; Heflin, Brian; Heflin, Jody; Heflin, Matthew; Gudin, Phillip; Gudin, Robert; Gudin, Melissa; Garringer, Sandra; Garringer, Crystal; Garringer, Tobias; Gayk Jr, Raymond; Gayk, Allison; Crites, Bonnie; Hammond, Robert; Megyeri, Sharyn; Yuma, Gina; Hammond, Theresa; Huntsman, Paula; Huntsman, Richard; Huntsman, Kimberly; Howard, Kathryn; Hutt, Suzette; Hartsock, John; Hartsock, Irma; Hartsock, Nicholas; James, Johnnie; Wilson, Deborah; James, Keith; Brown, Alesia; Cleer, Patti; Cleer, Michael; Cleer, Kimberly; Cleer, Stacie; Kunert, Andrew; Kanouse, James; Kanouse, Judith; Kruger, Frank; Ames, Starr; Hargrove, Toni; Kukol, Justin; Kulakowski, Mike; Kulakowski, Patti; Kulakowski, Brent; Kunert, Tom; Lyon, Terri; Loggia, Lily; Lake Jr, Harold; Lake, Darla; Lake, Sean; LaMagna, Robert; LaMagna, Dianne; Gorton, Noelle; LaMagna, Anthony; Crites, Sharon; Moore, Barbara; Moore, Johnathon; Moore, Joseph; Mendez Guerrero, Dina; Mosher, Diane; Shoemaker, Jennifer; Marrufo, Daniel; Marrufo, Vera; Macan, Connie; Halford, Iva; Mays, Lois; Mays, Denice; Meeks, Margie; Meeks, Harold; Meeks III, Harold Butchie; Meeks, Rebecca; Meese, David; Meese, Gina; Meese, Sean; Meese, Stephen; Miller Jr, Clyde; Miller, Mitchell; Morgan, Danny; Morgan, Jeffrey; Moore, Ned L.; Moore, Diane; Olgren, Christine; Moore, Lesley; Moore, Ned L.V.C.; Munoz, Valerie; McConnell, Troy;

McConnell, Robert; Smith, Judy; Alfaro, Sara; McKinney, Leslie; McKinney, Joan; McKinney, John; McKinney, Janeen; McKinney, Kevin; Qualls, Odell; Qualls, Carol; Qualls, Brett; Ramirez Jr, Apolonio; Ramirez, Faye; Ramirez, Falisha; Ramirez, Bianca; Ramirez, Letisha; Reaver, Philippa; Reaver, David; Cadwalader, Michael; Reinalda, Marie; Reinalda, David; Reinalda Jr, Robert; Rice, Arnold; Rice, Mildred; Rice, Sherri; Rice, Terri; Robinson, Linda; Robinson, Mark; Rowe, James; Robinson Jr, Mark; Parsell, Cindy; Rowe Jr, James; Bell, Penny; Marrufo, Gloria; Skinner, Bill; Skinner, Charlotte; Skinner, Michael; Skinner, Mark; Stoner, Sheila; Stambersky, David B.; Stambersky, Patrick; Stambersky, Joseph; Stambersky, Melissa; Stambersky, David M.; Sullivan, Virgil; Sullivan, Arlene; Sullivan, Anthony; Dansie, Tamra; Swauger, Robert; Swauger, Anne; Stevens, Stacey; Todd, Adrienne; Todd, Vanessa; Todd, Jonathan; Velazquez, Frank; Velazquez, Grace; Walter, Paul; Walter, Ethel; Walter, Wendy; Wareing, Bonnie; Wareing, Richard; Wareing, John; Wareing, Michael; Wareing, Kimberly; Wemple, Harry J.; Wemple, Harry G.; Wemple, Olive; Bell, Marcia; Winrow, Olive; Weethee, Valerie; Weethee, Steven; Weethee, Brandon; Weethee, Mindy; Wixom, John; Wixom, Mary; Wixom, Daniel; Longanecker, Sandy; Williams, Brian; Williams Lake, Michael; Yoder, Donald L.; Yoder, Delores; Rice, Deanna; Smith, Michelle; Yoder, Melanie; Yoder, Donald J.; Zazzaro, Kimiko; Zazzaro, Guy; Swanson, Deborah; Carman, Daniel; Aguilar, Cipriano; Aguilar, Margarita; Manzano, Ida; David, Debbie; Asborno, Adam; Asborno, Bruce; Asborno, Phyllis; Asborno, Stacy; Asborno, Tony; Arredondo, Elaina; Arredondo, James; Arredondo, Joseph;

Arredondo, Jose; Arredondo, Joyce; Ayala, Arthur; Ayala, Arturo; Ayala, Christina; Ayala, Lailana; Balding, Margaret; Balding, Ralph; Barbosa, Joshua; Barbosa, Matthew; Bernal, Deborah; Bernal, Michael R.; Bernal, Michael J.; Bernal Griffie, Michelle; Burkeen, Jeffrey; Burkeen, Patricia; Burkeen, Tiffany; Calzada, Javier; Fowler, Shenei; Crutcher, Kenneth; Crutcher, Lisa; Crutcher, Paul; Mayer, Sharon; SantaCruz III, Edward; Curl, John; Curl II, John; Curl, Racheal; Curl, Stephanie; David, Autumn; David, Douglas; Davis, Charles; Davis, Lucille; Deckert, Debra; Deckert, Jamie; Deckert, Jeremiah; Deckert, Rachell; DeYoung, Margaret; Dominguez, Christopher; Dominguez, Jose; Dominguez, Shirley; Eatmon, Bobby; Eatmon, Lutrica; Eggleston, David; Epperson, Jack; Epperson, Janet; Mack, Karla; Epperson, Kristine; Epperson, Steven; Eyre, Sharon; Mitchell, Jason; Ferrell, Jenifer; Ferrell, Joann; Florentine, Raymond; Flory, Lewellyn; Flory, Marie; Jenkins, Marina; Flory, Melinda; Flory, Melissa; Underwood, Melodee; Fortuna, John; Fox, Michael L.; Fox, Renee; Garcia, Bertha; Garcia, Richard; Griffith, Georgia; Graham, Beverly; Graham, Leslie; Graham Jr, Leslie; Graham, Nita; Greenhouse, Isaac; Greenhouse, Maria; Greenhouse, Patrick; Hicks, Jessica; Hrncir, Alice; Hrncir, Robert; Jackson, Laura; Johnson, Cuma; Johnson, Doris; Johnson, Franklin; Stanton, Lauri; Rozell, Marsha; Kanouse, Melanie; Knox, Andrew; Knox, Geoffrey; Knox, Isaac; Knox, Sandy; Manzano, Anthony; Manzano Jr, Jose; Hitchcock, Sylvia; Moore, Rickie; Moore, Sharon; Moreno, Elias; Logan, Penny; Moreno, Rita; Morse, Joshua; Morse, Shannon; Morton, Renee; Mendonsa, Kelly; Mendonsa, Steven; Oftelie, Eddie; Oftelie, Kerry; Orrell, James;

Orrell, Heather; Orrell, Karen; Orrell, Thad; Polak, Clara; Reed, Yolanda; Wilder, Davey; Rhoads, Shawn; Roberson, Tracy; Roberson, William; Rose, Ethel; Rose, James; Rose, John; Rowe, Misty; Sartor, Henry; Sartor, Lisa; Sartor, Rosemarie; Scarlett, Amanda; Scott, Doris; Shorman, Catherine; Shorman, Jasen; Shorman, Robert; Shortle, Carmel; Shortle, Leo; Sliger, Floyd; Sparks, Jason; Sliger, Phyllis; Sliger, Sean; Snyder, Grace; Taulbee, Beverly; Taulbee, Holmer; Taylor, Guy; Thomas, Daniel; Turner, Beth; Turner, Delbert; Turner, Jacqueline; Turner, James; Turner, Jeremy; Turner, Joseph C.; Turner, Joseph M.; Walter, John; White, Cheryl; Bryant, Tanya; Williams, James C.; Williams, James G.; Williams, Pearl; Williams, Vera; Woodside, Bob D.; Woodside, Bob E.; Woodside, Donna; Ray, Joni; Woodside, Randall; Wright, Jean; Rust, Daniel; Rust, Justin; Rust, David; Rust, William; Rust Erickson, Cynthia; Erickson Jr, Earl; Erickson, Cheryl; Buser, Giovana; Buser, Harry; Edwards, Michelle; Edwards, Scott; Fantocone, Lisa; Fantocone, Donna; Fantocone, Joseph; Alexander, Christine; Alexander Sr, Kermit; Alexander, Stacey; Alexander, Troy; Alexander, Robyn; Balla, Melvin; Balla, Victoria; Balla II, Melvin; Barnes, David; Barnes, Catherine; Bell, Jean; Bell, Jennifer; Bell, Michelle; Bishop, Susan; Bishop, Scott; Bishop, Gregory; Brantley, Christina; Brantley, Dennis; Campbell, Ruth; Campbell, Donald; Campbell, Ronald V.; Clay, Isham; Clay, Linda; Clay, Lynette; Clay, Tracy; Cohn, Michael; Ketchum, Cheryl; Craig, Shirley; Cain, Lisa; Cain, Scott; Contreras, Salvador; Contreras, Lupe; Dingman, Donna; Dingman Jr Herbert; Dingman, Andrew; Duffy, Sandra; Duffy, John; Duffy, Frank; Duffy, Robert; Duron, Mary; Duron, Marlon; Duron, Christina;

Duron, Christopher; Davis, Bryan; Penny, Denise; Smith, Teresa; Dansie, Westley; Dansie, Ronnie; Stark, Mark; Fimple, Inez; Frazee, Joyce; Garcia, Katherine; Garcia, Silverio; Garcia, Lisa; Garcia, Anthony; Gibson, William; Gibson, Wendy; Gibson, Stephanie; Gibson, Michael; Gibson, Scott; Godwin, David; Godwin, Betty; Godwin, Elaina; Godwin, Amanda; Green, Thomas; Guilin, Fernando; Granger, Dushane; Girard, Belinda; Girard, Terry; Hansen, William; Hansen, Joanne; Hansen, Bambi; Hansen, Angela; Harman, Steve; Harman, Diane; Harman, Marlyn; Harman, Michael; Hollaway, Linda; Hollaway, Steven; Jorden, Christopher; Jackson, Mary; Jackson, Wendell; Jackson, Ronald; Jackson, Sharon; Jackson, Rhonda; Jackson II, Ronald; Stallard, Sharon; Jackson, Lindy; Johnson, Thomas; Jones, Lawrence C.; Jones Ceja, Deborah; Markley, Patti; Jones, Rhonda; Jones, William; Jones Black, Dana; Jones, Rex; Krumholz, Mary; Krumholz, Stanley; Krumholz, Aaron; Krumholz, Clare; Laimo, Frank; Laimo, Mary; Lindstrom, Edward L.; Lindstrom, Georgia; Lindstrom, Edward A.; Lindstrom, Keara; Mann, Sheryle; Moore, Percy; Macan, Edward; Macan, Nicole; Martinez, Joe; Martinez, Marsha; Martinez, Edward; Marquez, Stella; Marquez, Paul; Marquez, Monica; Meeks, Tresa; Meeks Jr, Harold; Meeks, Robert; Meyer, Thomas; Meyer, Brenda; Miller, Russell E.; Miller, Pamela; Miller, Alicia; Miller, Russell S.; Vidales, Angela; Noguchi, Chris; Navas, Shonda; Negrete, Yvonne; Neely, Joseph; Neely, Randell; Neely, Ryan; Neely, Erin; Nelson, Frances; Newman, Helena; Omerbegovic, Amir; Omerbegovic Sr, Meho; Omerbegovic Jr, Meho; Omerbegovic, Ophelia; Pierce, Bradford; Pierce, Jennifer; Pierce, Genevieve; Pierce,

Hubert W.; Pierce, Hubert D.; Reed, Terrance; Rodriguez, Fred; Ramirez, Daniel; Ramirez, Phillip; Rentfro, Kathryn; Rentfro, George; Rentfro, Kwynn; Rentfro, Ryan; Reyes Carrera, Amalia; Reyes, Leslie; Riojas, Anthony; Riojas, Sylvia; Riojas, Arlene; Riojas, Melisa; Ross, Carisa; Ross Jr, Karl; Sartor, Domenica; Evans, Rita; Scarbrough, Rickie; Scarbrough, Carole; Scarbrough, Robert; Scarbrough, Kasey; Scarbrough, Charity; Shearer, Daniel; Shearer, James; Shearer, Jeffrey; Entrikin, Judy; Smith, Iola; Smith, Brian C.; Smith, Phillip; Smith, Ralph; Richart, Robin; Thompson, Tanya; Telles, Nicholas; Telles, Rudy; Telles, Rudy M.; Thomas, Larry; Thomas, Mary; Thomas, Shelli; Trust, Brandon; Trust, Emily; Vertigan, Debby; Vertigan, Ricky; Vertigan, Larry; Yoder, Phillip; Yoder, Juanita; Yoder, Stephen; Yoder, Tim; Yoder, Craig; Main, Barbara; Abel, Ethan; Abel, Cynthia; Horn, Terri; Adams, Scott; Adams, Ronald; Adams, Christina; Aguilar, Daniel; Aguilar, Marcelino; Aguilar, Josephine; Aguilar, Robert; Aguilar, Rosemary; Alaniz, Paul; Almaguer, Robert Weldely, Amanda; Andrade, Joseph; Andrade, John; Andrade, Brenda; Andrade, Frankie; Andrade, Sheryl; Andrade, Edward; Andrade, Michael; Andrade, Renee; Andrade, Ronald; Andrade, Lisa; Atteberry, Charles; Barker, Christine; Barrilleaux, Connie; Barrilleaux, Dennis; Barrilleaux, Laurie; Barrilleaux, Joseph; Bates, Annette; Bates, Michael; Bowman, Eulene; Oliva, Bonnie; Batey, David; Black, Tina; Black, Amy; Bravo, Maria; Bouton, Rebecca; Brandl II, Daniel; Brandl, Samuel; Pasley, Tammy; Calderwood, Charles; Calderwood, Grace; Cisneros, Virginia; Chugani, Dayal; Chugani, Hoori; Chugani, Suraj; Chugani, Sunil; Chugani, Kanchan; Norris-Steiner, Ellen; Day, Stanley;

Dumond, William; Dumond, Terry; Dumond, Dana; Dunaway, Lola; Dyer, Robert; Dyer, Sandra; Dyer, Eric; Dyer, Charles; Bomar, Cynthia; Edwards, Teddy L.; Edwards, Robyn; Edwards, Teddy L.; Edwards, Steven; Edwards, Katie; Estrada, Paul; Elkins, Nikki; Ford, Rebecca; Ferris, Colene; Galbreath, Maria; Galbreath, Lori; Graham, Violet; Hadley, Joy; Hadley, Lonny; Hadley, Randy; Harris, Betty; Harris, Ernest; Harris, Michael; Harris, Steven; Harris, Randall; Harris, Erma; Harris, Byron; Harris, Reginald; Harris, Sonya; Hilliard, Melvina; Harris, Jason; Harris, Kevin; Hainey, Gordon; Hainey, Robert; Wagner, Tami; Hansen, Kriscinda; Huff, Patsy; Hawkins, Gladys; Hess, Kathlene; Hess, Kelly; William Hess, Brian; Hess, Steven; Hilmar, Virginia; Hilmar, Vlasta; Hitt, Daniel; Hitt, Ida; Hitt, Judy; Hitt, John; Hitt, Richard; Hitt, Brandi; Janakovic, Muriel; Kyle, Tracy; Kersey, Garred; Kersey, Patricia; Gass, Cynthia; Apodaca, Tracy; Kersey Jr, Garred; Kelley, Larry; Lambert, Joanne; Lae, Linda; Lae, David; Lae, Larissa; Lae, Alexander; Laiva, Charlotte; Laiva, Kristee; Lara, Lorene; Lara, Stanley C.; Lara, Stacey; Lara, Stanley J.; Lucero, Arthur; Lucero, Marc; Martinez, Mary; McWilliam, Melissa; Mello, Arnold; Mello, Joseph; Mengler, Antonia; Mengler, Josephine; Mengler, Henry; Mengler, Wilhelmina; Mitchell, Dennis; Morse, Daniel; Morse, David; Morse, Adam; Morse, Justin; Morse, E; Morse, Albert H.; Munoz, Michael A.; Munoz, Christina; Munoz Jr, Michael; Munoz, Andrew; Negrete, Tony; McCarthy, Trina; Negrette, Shelly; Negrette Jr, Tony; Oliva, John; Oliva, Deanna; Ormonde, Chris; Ormonde, Cyndie; Ormonde, Star; Ormonde, Ty; Ortega, Raoul; Osborne, Linda; Porter Jr, Trellis; Purcell, Louis; Purcell, Artemisa; Ricker, Mike;

Blackmon, Mark; Rubottom, Thomas; Rubottom, Terry; Russ, Rosamond; Russ, Wray; Russ, Wray H.; Ruth, Michael; Ruth, Karen; Peguero, Robyn; Sanchez, Nick E.; Sanchez, Crucita; Sanchez, Nick R.; Sanchez, Joseph; Sandoval, Mary; Sandoval Jr, Reuben; Sherman III, William; Nierenhausen, Cindy; Smith, Jill; Smith, Vincent; Smith, Alice; Smith, Skip; Warne, William; Stinson, Marvin; Sulahian, Lydia; Talhelm, Sherry; Tolley, Mary; Tolley, William; Tolley, William Jr; Tolley, Tiffani; Richard, Andrew; Ugland, Robert; Brooks, Cynthia; Vaske, Francis; Vaske, Doris; Vaske Jr, Francis; Vaske, Eugene; Vaske, Christopher; Vinson, William T.; Vinson, Martha; Vinson, Brendan; Whitaker, Shelly; Whitaker, Kevin; Whitaker, Julie; Brooks, Latrenda; Williams, Shirley; Williams, Jerry; Price, La Shella; Williams, Caleb; Wood, Shirleen; Whitlow, Rachel; Ames, Robert; Burgess, Marshelle; Bouton, Phillip; Blackston, Wanda; Blackston, Nathaniel; Bazurto, Joe; Bazurto, Elizabeth; Castor, Hawley; Castor, Bonnie; Cummings, Judith; Elmore, Lee Ane; Nason, James; Smith, Laurie; Smith, Tammy; Silves, Catherine; Allen, Beverly; Floyd, Delores; Floyd, Michelle; Hilton, Pamela; Sosa, Peggy; Johnson, William E.; Reichard, Jimmie; Reichard, Betty; Reichard, William; Vickery, Billy; Atteberry, Kathy; Aragon, Cindy; Shearer, Patricia; Baker, Chadrick; Baker, Lacey; Bravo, Julio V.; Bravo, Gloria J.V.; Bravo, Cesar; Bravo, Nohemi; Barker, Joseph; Bridges, Rani; Burns, Roscoe; Bridges, Eric; Clabaugh, James; Calvert, Maria; Calvert, Richard; Calvert, Ariana; Galdino, Veronica; Harris, Michelene; Harris, Amber; Hamann, Melvin; Hamann, Virginia; Harkless, Lonzena; Harkless, Marion; Hockley, Henri; Kellogg, Amber; Kellogg, Brian; Kukol Sr, Thomas; Kukol, Nancy; Kukol,

Timothy; Laumbach, Andrew; Richardson, Teresa; Laumbach, Cecelia; Mendez, Mollie; Ayon, Josie; King, Sean; Miller, Kassandra; Martin, Robert D.; Martin, Robert K.; Martin, Jeffery; Mendoza, Elizabeth S.; Newman, Nora; Olds, Lloyd; Olds, Jolynn; Olds, Renee; Olds, Chad; Olds, Amber; Parsell, Richard C.; Parsell, Tina; Parsell, Richard C.; Popejoy, Carolyn; Popejoy, Julia; Popejoy, Johnny; Popejoy, Christopher; Pike Jr, Harold; Pike, Ray; Rubio, Francisco; Rehnert, Thomas A.; Rehnert, Birgit; Rehnert, Anneliese; Rehnert, Thomas; Rehnert, Suzanne; Stojsic, Stevan; Starling, Ronald; Starling, Doris; Starling, Joe; Starling, Dwight; Starling, Chris; Stark II, Kenneth; Shepherd, Jack; Simon, Emmett; Simon, Fawnetta; Thibodeaux, Ronald; Thibodeaux, Valerie; Thibodeaux, Michlene; Torres, Suzanne; Torres, Monet; Torres, Amanda; Turner, Sherry; Turner, Jenny; Turner II, Raymond; Turner, Michelle; Vaccariello, Mary; Vaccariello, John; Vaccariello, Robert; Vaccariello, Daniel; Atteberry, Cozetta; Boyd, William; Boyd, Rosetta; Boyd, Ryan; Boyd, Stephen; Boyd, Kelli; Ferris, Jeffrey; Ferris, Ryan; Fowler, Leroy; Fowler, Amos; Hale, Laura; Hale, Terry; Hale, Kristie; Heaton, Anthony; Heaton, Jamie; Heaton, Dustin; Hutch, Timothy; Immell, Judy; Immell, Wayne; Immell, Jason; Immell, Jamie; Jenkins, Donald; Jenkins, Deborah; Jenkins, Holly; Jenkins, David; McDonough, Harry; Jones, Shelly; Smith, Edward; Smith, Eva; Smith, Bruce; Candelaria, Linda; Achor, Sam; Achor, Joyce; Achor, Chukwuma; Anderson, Nick; Akana, Malia; Whitaker, Cynthia; Blackmon, Ronald; Bernd, Jeanette; Barnes Jr, Lawrence; Bazurto, Chrystal; Bazurto II, Leonard; Clements, Charleen; Clements, Steven; Clements, Jason; Crawford, Jim; Crawford, Betty;

Crawford, Jeff; Heller, Rhonda; Crawford, Becky; Crawford, Latasha; Crawford, Jim L.; Davis, O'Dell; Davis, Mark; Dunn, Marina; Munoz, Michael; Fatone, Donald; Fatone, Anthony; Floyd, Daniel; Gammon, Scott; Gorder, Edith; Gorder, Eric; Hay, Marjorie; Hughes, Jenni; Hughes, Jani; Hughes, Sommer; Harryman, Jeff; Harryman, Mishele; Harryman, Jeffrey II; Harryman, Eric; Hawkins, Diana; Hay, Shari; Hay, Michael; Hill, Janet; Hill, Samantha; Harper, Jamie; Hill-Akana, Bruce; Johnson, Melvin; Johnson, June; Keith, David; Keith, Jeremy; Keith, Amber; Kline, Mark; Kline, Lorraine; Kline, Karen; Kline, James; Ledesma, La Donna; Ledesma, Vincent; Ledesma, Vincent Jr; Ledesma, Yvonne; Marks, Nellie; Marks, Dana; Marks, Deanna; Morse, Albert E.; Morse, Ruth; Morse, Heather L.; Morse, Jennifer; McClure, James; McClure, Ethel; McClure, Richard; McClure, Charles; McClure, Douglas; Millis, Patricia; Martinez, Marjorie; Moreno, Sharon; Moreno, David; Moreno, Paul; Moreno, Philip; Navas, Joseph A.; Navas, Joseph D.; Nicklas, John; Nicklas, Paula; Nicklas, John Jr; Nicklas, Kevin; Nicklas, Mark; Olson, Wayne; Ortega, Transito; Ortega, Manuela; Ortega, Richard; Ortega, Theresa; Paramo, Maria; Potter, Sheridie; Potter, Dawn; Ramirez, Samuel; Ramirez, Maria; Ramirez, Jessica; Ramirez, Ivonne; Ramirez, Samuel Jr; Ramirez, Gabriel; Ricker, Arnold; Ricker, Faye; Rodriguez, James; Rodriguez, Michael; Rodriguez, Nino; Salmond, Lee; Salmond, Jason; Salmond, Roger A.; Salmond, Roger H.; Salmond, J. Scott; Schlitz, Dean; Schmid Jr, Raymond; Stoner, Tracy; Seawater, Lisa-Marie; Tapia, Mary; Thompson, Troy; Tice, James; Tice, Emily; Tyler, Mary; Tyler, Patricia; Tyler, Steven; Utter, Terry; VanVleck, Clair;

VanVleck, Lois; Vasquez, Frankie; Vasquez, Sharon; Moreno, Kammy; White, Linda; Norton, Billy; Norton, Kelley; Williamson, Dollie; Williamson, Curtis; Williamson Jr, Curtis; Williamson, Michael; Williamson, Kenneth; Williamson, Robert; Remus, Bonnie; Williamson, Harold; Williamson, Alica; Wier, Eugene; Wier, Bryan; Wier, Travis; Zamora Jr, David; Buser, Lauretta; Buser, Barbi; Buser, Sheri; Bouzos, Peter; Gyngard, Robert; Gyngard, Kim; Gyngard, Neal; Gyngard, Laura; James, Opal; Krantz, Robert; Krantz, Ellyn; Larcoski, Harry; Larcoski, Dorothy; Lovgren, Lawrence; Lovgren, Eric; Lovgren, Lawre; Lytle, Raymond II; Lytle, Michael; Lytle, Don; Madonna, Mark; Madonna, Lora; Madonna, Gary; Madonna, Sarah; Marlow, Michael; Marlow, Cynthia; Marlow, Tahra; Marlow, Keith; Marlow, Sebastian; Mendonsa, Chieko; O'Connor, Thomas; O'Connor, Jonathan; O'Connor, Sherry; O'Connor, Jennifer; Ortega, Edward; Ortega, Linda; Ortega, Michelle; Ortega, Vincent; Pacheco, Edward; Pacheco, Esther; Pacheco, Paul; Rollins, Sharon; Rubottom, Debra; Rubottom, Leah; Rubottom, Kristin; Ramirez Sr, Apolonio; Ramirez, Rosela; Sherlock, David; Sherlock, Charles; Spotswood, Thomas; Sharp, Arlis; Sharp, Leta; Simms, John Jr; Simms, Darlene; Simms, Charity; Shoopman, Velma; Shoopman, Joseph; Shoopman, Owen; Shoopman, Jeffrey; Shoopman, Michael; Skinner, Lucinda; Stephen, Roberta; Stephens, Robert; Talhelm, Kenneth; McIntosh, Kimberly; Bergners, Sandra; Taylor, Carol; Thorpe, William Jr; Thorpe, Kathleen; Irvin, Teralee; Thorpe, William III; Vasquez, Linda G.; Vasquez, Henry; Vasquez, Vionette; Vasquez, Linda N.; Vasquez, Roxanne; Resendez, Teresa; Vidales,

Tricia; Birchfield, Tracy; Vidales, David S.; Werley, Linda; Werley, Joseph; Werley, Michael; Werley, Clinton; Whitehurst, Lucile; Wright, Teri; Ferguson, Thomas; York, Ethel; York Jr, Harry; Althizer, Candace; Black, Clyde; Carreiro, Linda; Cain, Daniel; Cain, William; Cain, Roberta; Cain, Ronald D.; Cain, Patricia; Campbell, Sheila; Campbell, Brandi; Campbell, Amber; Campbell, April; Fuller Jr, Carl; Gould, John; Gould, Joann; Gould, Alyce; Gould, Jennifer; Howard, Karen; Howard, David; Knights, Clare; Mascorro, Shugar; McKinley, Marquita; Osborne, David; Page, Edward; Page, Carl; Quinney, Reneisha; Quinney, Trisha; Rutledge, Dorothy; Roberts, Patricia; Scarpa, Christopher; Ansley, Sharon; Ansley, Stephen; Ansley, Amy; Ansley, Amanda; Couch, Tabatha; Davis, Betty; Davis, Raymond; Shirley, Karrie; Eckebrecht, James; Smith, Elizabeth; Smith, Justin; Hieldbrandt, Linda; Hieldbrandt, Larry; Hieldbrandt, Jason; Hieldbrandt, Amy; Huth, Vicki; Huth, Michelle; Wheat, Yvonne; Irick, Harry; Monahan, Pamela; Johnson, Tiffany; Kaneski, Mary; Kaneski, Carmel; Kaneski, Paul; King, William; King, Lila; Lauritzen, Mark; Lauritzen, Joan; Lauritzen, Cheryl; Lauritzen, Bradley; Lauritzen, Erin; Mittman, Gene; Mittman, April; Page, Cynthia; Page, Joshua; Page, Desiree; Page, Christopher; Popejoy, Barbara; Popejoy, Amy; Popejoy, Mark; Popejoy, Shelly; Pena, Taylor; Robidoux, Charles Jr; Robidoux, Michelle; Rubottom, Karl; Elford, Sharon; Seawater, David; Akana-Seawater, Ben; Shackleford, Paul; Smith, Charles; Smith, Judith; Smith, Jeffrey; Stone, Angela; Stone, Charles; Luckett, Beth; Stone, David; Strom Bozenski, Gail; Suhovy, Michael; Suhovy, Gloria; Suhovy, Andrea; Smyly, Adam; Tarris-Dowling, Candice; Van Aken, Wayne; Van

Aken, Phyllis; Fuller, Rhonda; Van Aken, Jason; VanHoose, Elbert Jr; VanHoose, Shirley; VanHoose, Lisa; Walgren, John; Walgren, Ruth; Walgren, Donald; Yonkey, Celestina; Yonkey, Joel; Yonkey, Jason; Yonkey, Lannette; Yonkey, Andrew; Darner, Nora; Darner, Trisha; Hagen, David; Hagen, Sherman; Hagen, Rose; Kniffin, Daniel; Shackleford, Barbara; Marshall, Walter Jr; Shackleford, Mark; Pallesen, Janis; Prince, Carl; Prince, Barbara; Prince, Tammy; Rubio, Felipe; Rubio, John; Cullison, Helen; Grimm, Karen; Grimm, Thomas W.; Manning, Charles; Manning, Clifford; Manning, Ruth; McKinney, Charles; McKinney, Floyd; McKinney, Frances; Michael, Jeffrey; Poole, Cora; Poole, Jamie; Sanchez, Brandy; Sanchez, Brenda; Sanchez, Brian; Sanchez, Isaac; Sanchez, Karen; Eger, Kathleen; Schick III, Elmer; Stockman, William; Stringer, Bryan; Stringer, Danielle; Strom, Janet; Strom, Patricia; Strom, Patrick; Williams, Clara; Williams, Sid; Bolton, Kathleen; Bolton, Raymond; Burbridge, Thomas; Burbridge, Karon; Campbell, Robert; Campbell, Dawn; Catalan, Frank; Catalan, Annie; Collins, Daniel; Collins, Adna; Gould, Ronald L.; Gould, Donna; Gould, Ronald C.E.; Gould, Michael; Huber, Beverly; Miles, Nancy; Medina, Sandra; Longden, John; Longden, Kathleen; Longden, Colleen; Medina, Nadine; Medina, Natalie; Medina, Gilbert; Minick, John; Arriola, Margret; Penny, James; Penny, Walter; Penny, Helen; Patrick, Billie; Stevens, Robert; Stevens, Ruth; Stevens, Thomas; Valdez, Joseph; Watson, Mary; Almeida Jr, Joseph; Almeida, Jacqueline; Almeida, Sheila; Almeida, Crystal; Almeida, Joshua; Bullchild, Debra; Cornett, Teresa; Grim, Kourtney; Hughes, Brandy; Hughes, James V; Lowe, Monna; Lowe, Kelly; MacLam, Julie; MacLam, James R.;

Newman, Kelly; Newman, Christina; Orrick, Marlene; Reynolds, Cynthia; Watson, Evelyn; Williams, Richard Jr; Collins, William; Hartzell, Larry I; Hartzell, Linda; Hartzell, Larry II; Hartzell, Lisa; Huber, Terri; Huber, Michael; Hutt, Lauren; Hutt, Douglas; Hutt, Christopher; Hutt, Steven; Sanchez, Patricia; Sotelo, Robert; Aufill, Barbara; Acosta, Mauro O.; Almaguer, Joseph; Asher, Dennis; Abril, Christina; Bell, Margaret; Benson, Karen; Bouzos, Jason, Bravo, Jose; Boles, Carla; Boyd, Richard; Boyd, Chadley; Broyles, Harry; Broyles, Buddy; Broyles, Barrett; Broyles, Benjamin; Broyles, Tegan; Catanese, Frank; Coble, William Jr; Cordero, Michelle; Cummings, John; Davis, James; Davis, Brenda; Ferry, Faith; Davis, Kenneth; Edwards, Tina; Estrada, Bernadine; Estrada, Julian Jr; Estrada, David; Estrada, Julian III; Reed, Hoa; Flood, James; Flood, Jack; Felix, David; Gould, Jamie; Grayson, Beverly; Grimm, Thomas G.; Howard, Alice; Howard, Cory; Hagen, Caroline; Herrell, Vandl; Horn, Crystal; Jensen, David; Kline, Lynne; Kline, Cameron; Lindborg/Dahl, David; Lopez, Javier; Lopez, Dora; Martin, Ruth; Medina, Phillip A.; Powers, Kellie; Morse, Ken; Munson, Peggy; McCarter, Troy; McVay, Michelle; McVay, Jason; Munson, Cassandra; Murphy, Tiffany; Mohundro, Wayne; Mohundro, Ilene; Mohundro, Wayne Jr; Martinez, Norma; Nelson, Margaret; Chase, Penny; Patton, Edward C.; Patton, Wendy; Patton, Lisa; Patton, Edward T.; Perez, Leslie; Porter, Dale; Rutledge, Shonit; Russ, Donovan; Sellars, Patrick A.; Shearer, Donald P.; Ingold, Christy; Sellars, Shannon; Sellars, Sherri; Sellars, Patrick D.; Starling, Joni; Starling, Jason; Starling, Michael; Starling, Amy; Minut, Cobin; Wright, Nicholas; Wohlgemuth, Sarah; Arnold, Lynn; Werth, Martha;

Williams, Edward; Williams, Maxine; Wright, Toni; Wright, Jennifer; Kulakowski, Peggy; Crull, Yvonne; Canite, Amber; Davis, Hope; Dyer, Michael; Dyer, Aaron; Dyer, Benjamin; Dyer, Christopher; Getty, William; Getty, Marla; Guzman, Linda; Guzman, Dawn; Guzman, Kelly; Guzman, Johnny; Holcomb, Milton; Hutch, Ricky; Hutch, Gwendolyn; Houston, Shawn; Lopez, Cesar; Melvin, Richard; Medina, Phillip C.; Medina, Joyce; Stevens, Michael; Stevens, Valerie; Stinson, Ross; Stinson, Betty; Tonnessen, Heidi; Yoder, Michael; Stinson, David; Rivers, Michael; Angulo, Sharon; Angulo, Joshua; Angulo, Isaac; Angulo, Krysta; Bellino, Paquita; Scott, Renee; Cabic, Brandi; Diaz Jr, Rafael; Elder, Ray; Elder, William; Elder, Edna; Gentry, Denise; Gonzales, Grace; Hegarty, Pamela; Hegarty, Eric; Hubert, Ted; Markley, Richard; McKinney, Robert; McKinney, Connie; McKinney, Candy; Meissner, Donald; Murphy, Shannon; Murphy, Craig; Murphy, Shawna; Patton, Mona; Rifenbery, Virginia; Rifenbery, Phillip; Rifenbery, Jacqueline; Russell, Patty; Russell Clint; Russell, Scott; Russell Shelley; Supra, Donna; Surlet Jr, Jerry; Surlet Victoria; Thompson, Eric; Thompson, Richard; Trudo, Sonsiarae; Vega, Fernando; Vega, Cecilia; Vega, Cheryll; Vega Jr, Leonel; Vegas, Barbara; Thompson, Barbara; Allen, William; Allen, Karen; Allen, Kendra; Allen, Karla; Brusven, Mathias; Elwell, William; Elwell, Nellie; Embry, Bonnie; Emmert, Ilona; Emmert, Patricia; Holland, William; Ketchum, Jack; Ketchum, Earlene; Ketchum, Summer; Ketchum, Chad; Krygier, Colleen; Krygier, Joseph; Mayorga, Rhonda; Pepper, Dale; Zitzloff, Dawn; Pepper, Edward; Hentges, Margaret; Pepper, Robert E.; Pepper, Alice; Renwick, John; Renwick, Patricia; Renwick, Chad; Schmidt, Patricia; Stuvick,

Breeana; Terk, Beverly; Tucker, Richard; Tucker, Bonnie; Tucker, Michael; Tucker, Michelle; Watson, Julia; Watson, Johanna; Deitrick, Terrence; Dunn, Valerie; Dunn, Edward; Dunn, Christopher; Haun, Danny; Henson, Andy; Henson, Arlene; Henson, Martin; Henson, Steven; Lamb, Marvin; Lamb, Dorothy; Lamb, Teauna; Landrum, James; Leslie, Alona; Leslie, Amy; Medulla, Shirley; Medulla, Michael; Neuroth, Deanne; Sitton, David; Sitton, Cynthia; Sitton, Michael; Bickett, Mary; Bizik, Ralph; Byrd, Richard; Byrd, Roberta; Badgley, Brett; Campbell, Anna; Campbell, James; Campbell II, James; Davis, Sharon; Deitrick, Denice; Downs, Johanna; Durette, Doris; Durette, Adoladd; Durette, Fred; Durette, Joe; Feil, Reinhardt; Feil, Ruby; Hill, Kenneth; Ohls, Cheryl; Motrico, Jess; Martin, Thomas; Mortenson, Sonia; Anker, Denise; Rojo, Robert; Marquez, Diana; Jackson, Nina; Stoffel, Shade; Stoffel, Robert; Velez, Candido; Velez, Anna; Wallace, David; Witkowski, Tina; Cangemi, Grace; Wright, Donald; Wright Jr, Donald; Wright, Denise; Crawford, Mary; Crawford, Jimmy; Crawford, Michael; Casslo, James; Johnson, Brian; Rethaford, Lynda; Rethaford, Maggie; Vandenberg, Richard; Vandenberg, Amy; Vandenberg, Katie; Woodside, Joy; Woodside, Justin; Woodside, Christopher; Angle, Edgar; Angle, Franklin; Bain Jr, Russell; Basham, Martha; Bennett, Barbara; Castro Jr, Jesse; Cordy, Donald; Cordy, Rochelle; Decasas, Richard; Decasas, Jennifer; Diaz, Ercilia; Martinez, Inez; Diaz, Rodolfo; Salitre, Martha; Fullenwider, Ralph; Fullenwider, Elva; Guerrero, Diane; Guerrero, Timothy; Guerrero, Peter; Guerrero, Daniel; Holland, Martin; Holland, Jacqueline; Johnson, Sherry; Kidd Jr, Robert; Kidd, David; Kidd, Amanda; Kidd, April;

Leaf, Harold; Leaf, Geraldine; Leaf, Kimberly; Peterson, Kathleen; Monk, Mary; Monk, Lester; Monk, Raelene; Pepper, Robert J.; Ragan, Ronald; Ragan, Irene; Ragan, Christopher; Ragan, Brian; Sauceda, Wendy; Thompson, Christopher; Vaccaro, Leonard; Vaccaro, Lannie; Waters, Sharleen; Yoder Jr, Stephen; Bahler, Sue; Barone, Jean; Barone, Patricia; Carranza, Sylvia; Dill, Thomas; Dill, Judith; Loggia, Deborah; Loggia, Nichola; Loggia, Anthony; Loggia II, Vincent; Lowe, Robert; Murphy, John J.; Murphy, Maude; Miller, Cynthia; Miller, Miranda; Pharris, Dennis; Pharris, Debbie; Pharris, Joseph; Pharris, Holly; Castro, Patricia; Robinette, Lonnie; Robinette, Brian; Robinette, Heather; Warren, Mike; White, Bobby; White, Rosario; White, Ronald; White, Donald; White, Michael; Rice, Robert; Batiz, John; Batiz, Blanche; Batiz, Jess; Batiz, Sean; Batiz, Jenny; Bogacki, John; Burk, Ruth; Burke, Dorcas; Bogacki, Leonard; Bogacki, Alma; Bulin, Aaron; Bulin, Frances; Burke, James; Burke, Thomas; Cowan, Buz; Cowan, Van; Cowan, Lorylee; Cowan, John; Cowan, Keith; Collins, Alvin; Carranza, Lee; Cade, Danny; Cade, Deborah; Campbell, Ronald; Castro Sr, Jesse; Champion, Gregory; Champion, Jessica; Duncan, Frank; Duncan, Connie; Fording, Sherri; Fording, Justin; Fording, Joseph; Fokkema, Anneke; Fokkema, Henk; Forester, Barbara; Forester, John; Forester, Justin; Forester, Travis; Gallagher, Stephanie; Gandara, Linda; Gandara, Kassandra; Gray, Alton; Hutch, Minnie; Hutch, Yuolanda; Schneider, Patricia; Herrera, Ricky; Henson, Clelia; Henson, Randy; Henson, William; Henson, Teresa; Hammersley, Scott; James, Krislyn; Leyva, Phillip; Longden, Edward; Moore, Brandy; Masker, William; Masker, Linda; Masker, Roxanne; Matweeff, Gale;

Ibrahim, Isabelle; Mayall, Candyce; Mayall, Alec; Moralez, Daniel; Moralez, Consuelo; Moralez, Renata; Moralez, Miguel; Neighbors, Thorton; Palmer, Adah; Penny, John; Penny, Robert; Penny, Tammy; Penny, Monica; Penny, Penny; Penny, Sabrena; Pepper, Ronald; Rice, Donald; Rice, Shawna; Rice, Nicole; Rice, Alyssa; Robles, Frank; Robles, Laura; Robles, Anthony; Roush, Paul; Roush, Jacqueline; Rowe, Rickie; Rowe, Sandra; Rowe, Rebekah; Shelton, David; Shelton, Tammy; Shelton, Matthew; Shelton, Christopher; Starling, Lisa; Starling, Christopher; Starling, Travis; Steward, Teri; Steward, Richard A.; Steward, Mary; Steward, Jennifer; Steward, Richard E.; Steward, Toni; Stout, Virgil; Stout, Aimee; Taylor, Lyle; Taylor, Ruth; Thronson, Jerry; Thronson, JoAnn; Thronson, Glen; Thronson, Matthew; Thronson Jr, Glen; Thronson, William; Thronson, Ronald; Tolda, Masimino; Tolda, Laura; Wigiert, Robert; Wigiert, Diane; York, Jeffrey; York, Delaina; Young, Jonathon; Anker, Lavon; Bell, Bruce; Bell, Martyn; Donovan, Kathleen; Kullman, Mary; Kendrick, Charles; Kline, Robert; Lanier, Dora; Saterfield, Rickey; Smith, John C.; Telles, Syndie; Walsh, Spring; Williams, Lanette; Florio, Bernice; Friday, Brian; Holland, Cheryl; Holland, M; Mathis, Tina; Mathis, Leslie; Mathis, Diane; Miller, Kathlene; Moralez, Danny; Moralez, Veronica A.; Moralez, Priscilla; Purdy, Deborah; Robbins, Glen; Morrow, Judith; Robbins, Shane; Moralez, Danielle; Moralez, Veronica A.; Ortega, Amanda; Broyles, Rebecca; Broyles, Robert; Bravo, Nancy; Bravo, Julio C.; Conk, Erma; Contreras, Virginia; Contreras, Martin; Contreras, Lorraine; Contreras, Gabriella; Durette, Jr. Wilfred; Durette, III, Wilfred; McVicar, Jean; Gribbin, Sarah;

White, Nathan; White, Edward; Stults, Kristen; Elder, Janet; Oesterblad, Terry; Oesterblad, Eric; Oesterblad, Joshua; Trinkaus, Linda; Simpson, John; Simpson, Sheila; Spells, Richard; Whitcomb, Janet; Adkins, Angelica; Adkins, Rebecca; Whitcomb, Crystal; Garcia, Barbara; Spells, Bernice; Lyman, Susanne; Lyman, Kim; Lyman, Brett; Lyman, Jason; Lyman, Tyler; Lyman, Shane; Lyman, Heather; Lyman, Jenny; Thompson, Brenda; Garcia, Larry; Garcia, Justin; Reynolds, Richard; Mock, Linda; Dahlke, Kelly; Dahlke, Dayna; Hill, Edward; Reichard, Trevor; Bell, Tamara; Sanchez, Richard; Mestas, Douglas; Lane, Kathy; Gibson, Jason; Stinson, Gerald; Davis, Charity; Fraysher, Buford; Hemm, Darvin; Hemm, Betty; Poras, Rebecca; Poras, Brigette; Poras, Anna; Poras, Sunshine; Cope, Mark; Stites, Leann; Stites, Thomas; Brundige, Sarah; Brundige, Thomas; Brundige, Judy; Brundige, Michael; Pacini, Joann; Hemm, Timothy; Hemm, Kenneth; Walthall, Steve; Walthall, Valerie; Lindsey, Elizabeth; Lindsey, Todd; Hyde, Christina; Richendollar, Danny; Russell, Cory; Horn II, Edward; Sandoval, Nicholas; Maxwell, Hugh; Carney, Dorthey; Carney, Mark; Carney, Jeremiah; Carney, Richard; McWilliam, Brian; Yurkovich, Philip; Yurkovich, Catherine; Kirkland, Sheila; Marquez, David; Brogden, Cathy; Oliver, Doug; Brogden, Cassondra; Brogden, Tracey; DeSoner, Kristina; Oliver, Rose; Oliver Jr, Douglas; Cade, Jarret; Derho, Jack; Derho, Linda; Derho, Daniel; Derho, Curtis; Curran, Stephen; Bolen, Raymond; Johnson, William S.; Ragan, Brett; Buckner, Betty; Coates, Louetta; Romer, Rocky; Conners, Christina; Frymire, Susan; Nason, Heather; Turner, Joshua; Optebeke, Brent; Marks, Dean; Jackson III, Robert; Gonzalez, Tamara;

Rodriguez, Alfreda; Beith, Alfred; Zylstra, Hastin; Murphy, Henry; Lake, Bryan; Pepper, Darryl; Talhelm, Violet; Hartsock, Steven; Stowe, Eleanor; Johnson, April; Johnson, Matthew; Stinson, Mark; Martin, Ladean; Viertel, David; White, Bonnie; Anderson, Carey; Shinka, Michael; Burrows, Eileen; Anker, Michael; Smith, Jeremy; Bramhall, Sharon; Hernandez, Miguel; Hernandez, Evelyn N.; Mulhorn, Gail; Taglavore, Kenneth; Taglavore, Amber; Atteberry, Nicole; Vertigan, Randy; Dickerson, Clyde

---